

Entry 1 School Information

Last updated: 07/24/2017

Please be advised that you will need to complete this cover page (including signatures) <u>before</u> all of the other tasks assigned to you by your authorizer are visible on your task page. While completing this task, please ensure that you select the correct authorizer (as of June 30, 2017) or you may not be assigned the correct tasks.

a. SCHOOL NAME AND BEDS#

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CS (SUNY Trustees)

(Select name from the drop down menu)

b. CHARTER AUTHORIZER

SUNY Authorized Charter School

(For technical reasons, please re select authorizer name from the drop down menu).

c. DISTRICT / CSD OF LOCATION

NYC CSD 30

d1. SCHOOL INFORMATION

PRIMARY	ADDRESS PHOI	NE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
	oth Avenue NY 11106			

d2. PHONE CONTACT NUMBER FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES

Contact Name	Brian Ferguson
Title	Executive Director
Emergency Phone Number (### ### ####)	

e. SCHOOL WEB ADDRESS (URL) www.owncs.org

f. DATE OF INITIAL CHARTER 03/2001

g. DATE FIRST OPENED FOR 09/2002

INSTRUCTION

i. TOTAL ENROLLMENT ON JUNE 700 **30, 2017**

j. GRADES SERVED IN SCHOOL YEAR 2016-17

Check all that apply

Grades Served	K, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
---------------	---------------------------

k1. DOES THE SCHOOL CONTRACT WITH A CHARTER OR EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION?

11. FACILITIES

Does the school maintain or operate multiple sites?

	Yes, 2 sites
--	--------------

I2. SCHOOL SITES

Please list the sites where the school will operate for the upcoming school year.

No

	Physical Address	Phone Number	District/CSD	Grades Served at Site	School at Full Capacity at Site	Facilities Agreement
Site 1 (same as primary site)	36 12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106		CSD 30	K 5	Yes	Rent/Lease
Site 2	31 20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103		CSD 30	6 8	Yes	Rent/Lease
Site 3						

12a. Please provide the contact information for Site 1.

	Name	Work Phone	Alternate Phone	Email Address
School Leader	LaToiya Revell			
Operati onal Leader	Yvette Strachan			
Complia nce Contact	Brian Ferguson			
Complai nt Contact	Brian Ferguson			

13. Please provide the contact information for Site 2.

	Name	Work Phone	Alternate Phone	Email Address
School Leader	Lisa Edmiston			
Operati onal Leader	Yvette Strachan			
Complia nce Contact	Brian Ferguson			
Complai nt Contact	Brian Ferguson			

m1. Is the school or are the school sites co-located?

No

No

n1. Were there any revisions to the school's charter during the 2016-17 school year? (Please include approved or pending material and non-material charter revisions).

- o. Name and Position ofIndividual(s) Who Completed the2016-17 Annual Report.
- p. Our signatures below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter. Check YES if you agree and then use the mouse on your PC or the stylist on your mobile device to sign your name).

Yes

Signature, Head of Charter School

Burry

Signature, President of the Board of Trustees

Date 2017/07/24

Thank you.



Entry 2 NYS School Report Card Link

Last updated: 07/24/2017

1. NEW YORK STATE REPORT CARD

https://data.nysed.gov/reportcard.php?
year=2016&instid=800000042203

Provide a direct URL or web link to the most recent New York
State School Report Card for the charter school (See https://reportcards.nysed.gov/).

(Charter schools completing year one will not yet have a School Report Card or link to one. Please type "URL is not available" in the space provided).



Our World Neighborhood CHARTER SCHOOL

2016-17 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

August 1, 2017

By Brian Ferguson 36-12 35th Avenue, Astoria, NY 11106



Brian Ferguson, Executive Director prepared this 2016-17 Accountability Progress Report

on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

Trustee's Name	Board Position
Jeanette Betancourt EdD.	Chairperson (Education, Executive, Development committees)
Melissa Chin	Vice-Chairperson (Education, Executive committees)
Maura Fitzgerald	Secretary (Finance & Audit, Executive committees)
Charles Guadagnolo	Treasurer (Finance & Audit, Facilities committees)
Olubunmi Emigli	Member (Education, Development committee)
Manu Bhagavan PhD	Member
Richard Bogle	Member (Facilities committee)

Brian Ferguson has served as the Executive Director since 2002.

INTRODUCTION

Narrative description of the school, e.g. mission, when it opened, what grades served, number of students, demographic characteristics of students, etc. In addition, the description may also include key design elements or other unique aspects of the school program.

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 1: English Language Arts

All students attending Our World Neighborhood Charter School will become proficient readers and writers of the English Language.

Background

Brief narrative discussing English language arts curriculum, instruction, assessment and professional development at the school and any important changes to the English language arts program or staff prior to or during the 2014-15 school year.

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English language arts examination for grades 3-8

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program English language arts assessment to students in grades 3 through grade 8 in April 2016. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

Results

OWN students did not meet this absolute measure because only 48.3% of them in at least their second year performed at proficiency level.

Performance on 2015-16 State English Language Arts Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	All OWNCS	Students	Enrolled in at least their Second Year		
S	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	
3	58.8	80	58.3	72	
4	42.9	77	42.7	75	
5	48.0	75	48.6	70	
6	42.3	71	44.1	59	
7	45.2	73	43.5	69	
8	52.1	71	52.2	69	
All	48.3	447	48.3	414	

Evaluation

As the table above shows ELA proficiency rates ranged from as low as 42.7% in grade 4 to as high as 58.3% in grade 3.

While making direct comparisons in the results from 2014 to 2015 to 2016 is difficult since there have been substantive changes in the construction and administration of the state ELA exam,

OWN does find it instructive that at each grade level there was an increase in the percentage of students passing the exam at levels 3 and 4.

English Language Arts Performance by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of OWNCS Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year Achieving Proficiency						
Grad	201	L3-14	2014		2015-16		
е	Percen t	Number Tested	Percent r		Perce nt	Number Tested	
3	43.8	73	44.2	77	58.3	72	
4	39.5	76	36.5	74	42.7	75	
5	42.1	76	37.8	74	48.6	70	
6	40.3	67	43.1	65	44.1	59	
7	50.0	72	39.4	71	43.5	69	
8	37.0	73	49.2	65	52.2	69	
All	<u>42.1</u>	437	<u>41.5</u>	426	<u>48.3</u>	414	

1: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index ("PLI") on the State English language arts exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective ("AMO") set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in English language arts. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Level Index ("PLI") value that equals or exceeds the 2015-16 English language arts AMO of 104. The PLI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.1

Results

OWN Students met this measure by have an aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) of 137 which is higher than the targeted AMO of 104 for all tested students in grades 3-8.

English Language Arts 2015-16 Performance Level Index (PLI)

Number in	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level								
Cohort	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		
437	12		39		38		11		
	PI	=	39	+	38	+	11	=	88
					38	+	11	=	<u>49</u>
							PLI	=	137

¹ In contrast to SED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

A school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.²

Results

While 48.3% of OWN Charter school students in grades 3-8 who were enrolled in their second year met the proficiency level in ELA, only 44.1% of all NYC District 30 students met the proficiency level. OWN students met this comparative measure.

2015-16 State English Language Arts Exam
OWN Charter School and District 30 Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Proficiency					
Grade		udents In At ^{end} Year	All District 30 Students			
	Percent	Number Tested Percent		Number Tested		
3	58.3	72	42.8			
4	42.7	75	45.4			
5	48.6	70	38.6			
6	44.1	59	43.3			
7	43.5	69	43.4			
8	52.2	69	50.8			
All	<u>48.3</u>	414	<u>44.1</u>	-		

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

As the table above shows OWN Charter School students outperformed District 30 students at each grade level excepting at the fourth grade level, where the district outperformed OWN student by 2.7 percentage points. At all other grade levels and in aggregate OWN students continued to achieve at a higher level than their district compatriots. In grade 5, OWN students outperformed District 30 students by 10 percentage points while in grade 7 OWN students outperformed District students by only 0.1 percentage points.

The trend over the years has been that OWN students in aggregate outperform District 30 students. In 2014 there was a difference of +10, in 2015 it was +7, and in 2016 it was +4 in favor of OWN students.

² Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its Access database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

English Language Arts Performance of OWNCS and NYC District 30 by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of OWNCS Students Enrolled in at Least their Secon Who Are at Proficiency Compared to NYC District 30 Students					
Grade	201	3-14	2014-15		2015-16	
	OWNCS	District 30	OWNCS	District 30	OWNCS	District 30
3	44	34	44.2	34.4	58.3	42.8
4	39	34	36.5	32.6	42.7	45.4
5	41	30	37.8	34.4	48.6	38.6
6	39	28	43.1	33.6	44.1	43.3
7	50	31	39.4	33.4	43.5	43.4
8	37	35	49.2	38.2	52.2	50.8
All	<u>42</u>	32	<u>41.5</u>	34.5	<u>48.3</u>	44.1

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Results

At the writing of this report in August 2016, OWN is unable to discuss the results of this measure since the data has not yet been received.

2015-16 English Language Arts Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Grade Economically Number Disadvantage Tested		Percent of Students at Levels 3&4		Difference between Actual and Predicted	Effect Size
	d		Actual	Predicted	and Fredicted	
3						
4						

5	
6	
7	
8	
All	

School's Overall Comparative Performance:	
Write in Comparative Performance Analysis from report here	

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

Evaluation of the data will be forthcoming, once the school receives the data for the 2015-16 school year.

English Language Arts Comparative Performance by School Year

School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch/ Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2013-14	3-8	77.3	470	41.3	22.0	1.37
2014-15	3-8	76.3	453	40.6	22.1	1.27
2015-16	3-8					

Goal 1: Growth Measure³

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2013-14 and also have a state exam score from 2012-13 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2012-13 score are ranked by their 2013-14 score and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Results

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available.

2015-16 English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

Crada	Mean Growth
Grade	Percentile

³ See Guidelines for <u>Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan</u> for an explanation.

	School	Statewide Median
4		50.0
5		50.0
6		50.0
7		50.0
8		50.0
All		50.0

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

Analysis, evaluation and discussion of the data and evidence cannot be done at this time because the school has not received the data.

English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

Grad	Mean Growth Percentile				
e	2013-14	2014-15	2015-	Statewide	
	2013-14	2014-15	16	Median	
4	49.0	54.6		50.0	
5	60.2	43.4		50.0	
6	57.0	56.6		50.0	
7	65.4	58.8		50.0	
8	51.5	42.6		50.0	
All	<u>56.6</u>	<u>52.2</u>		50.0	

Summary of the English Language Arts Goal

The data from the 2016 administration of the NYS English Language Arts exam demonstrate that students at Our World Neighborhood Charter School continue to make progress in their overall achievement levels. OWN is far off from meeting the goal of having at least 75% of its students pass the state exam, but it should be noted that while OWN is 27 percentage points below that goal,

OWN continues to surpass district, city and state averages in proficiency levels.

Туре	Measure	Outcome		
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English language arts exam for grades 3-8.			
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state English language arts exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/		
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	Achieved/		
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2013-14	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve		

	school district results.)	
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

Upon receipt of the data for student performance on the 2016 administration of the English Language Arts exam, the school's administration began both the process of dissemination of the data and information to the Board of Trustees, key instructional leaders and the entire staff. Asking them to reflect and begin the process of laying out the school curricular and instructional strategies for improving student achievement across the board. That process will begin in earnest in late August and early September as teachers and instructional leaders start the school year. In grade level, departmental, and full faculty meetings staff members will review individual student's results and begin to lay out a plan for addressing each students deficits from the item analysis of the exam. The staff will also begin to develop strategies for embedding what they will

MATHEMATICS

Goal 2: Mathematics

All students attending Our World Neighborhood Charter School will demonstrate competency in their understanding and application of mathematical computation and problem solving.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination for grades 3-8.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program mathematics assessment to students in grade 3 through grade 8 in April 2016. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level. Grade 8 numbers reflect a combination of the students who sat only the NYS Grade 8 mathematics and the students who sat for the NYS Regents Mathematics exam in Algebra 1. Students who scored at least 65% on the NYS Regents Algebra 1 exam were included in the data as having scored at proficiency levels 3 or 4.

Results

OWN students did not meet this absolute measure since only 51.5% of them met proficiency level compared to the target of 75%.

Performance on 2015-16 State Mathematics Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	All OWNCS	Students	Enrolled in at least their Second Year		
S	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	Percent Proficient	Number Tested	
3	65.0	80	65.3	72	
4	66.2 77		66.2	74	
5	50.7	75	52.6	70	
6	38.0	71	39.0	59	
7	53.4	73	54.5	66	
8	31.0	31.0 71		70	
All	51.3	447	51.8	411	

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

Between 2014 and 2015 NYS made changes to the state exams; changes were also made for the 2016 administration of the exam so making direct comparisons between the years is difficult. However, the overall trend for mathematics achievement has been positive, if not consistent. In 2016 the data below shows an increase in the percentages of student meeting proficiency levels in grade 3, 4, 5, and 7. The greatest increase could be found in grade 3 where there was an increase of 10.8 percentage points between 2015 and 2016. Performance at the middle school level saw its worse decline in many years, especially at the grade 8 level, where only 10% of the students who took the state exam met proficiency level. Performance on the grade 8 mathematics Regents exam continued to be stable with

100% of them passing the exam. In grade 6 there was a decrease of 13.3 percentage points between 2015 and 2016.

At the beginning of the 2015-16 school year there was an unusually high turnover rate in the middle school mathematics team. Out of the four grade 6-8 mathematics teachers, three were new to the school and two were in their first year of teaching. While the school did provide many professional development opportunities for these new teachers the learning curve for them was great. Before the end of the 2015-16 school year the administration and the instructional coaches for mathematics met to begin to discuss how to improve teaching and learning at the middle school level.

Mathematics	Performance by	v Grade I eve	l and School Year
Maniemancs	Periormance b	v Glaue Leve	i anu School Fea

	attioniation i offormation by Grade Lover and General Four									
	Percent of OWNCS Students Enrolled in At Least Their									
		Second	Year Achie	Year Achieving Proficiency						
Grad	201	.3-14	2014	-15	201	5-16				
е	Doroon	Number		Numbe	Doroo	Numbe				
	Percen	Tested	Percent	r	Perce	r				
	ι	resteu		Tested	nt	Tested				
3	60.3	73	54.5	77	65.3	72				
4	50.0	76	63.0	73	66.2	74				
5	48.7	76	43.8	73	52.6	70				
6	53.7	67	52.3	65	39.0	59				
7	47.2	72	49.3	71	54.5	66				
8	16.4	73	27.8	36	30.0*	70*				
All	46.0	437	<u>50.4</u>	395	<u>51.8</u>	411				

^{*} includes NYS Math exam and NYS Regents Algebra 1 scores

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the State mathematics exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards enabling all students to be proficient. As a result, the state sets an AMO each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal of proficiency in the state's learning standards in mathematics. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Level Index (PLI) value that equals or exceeds the 2015-16 mathematics AMO of 101. The PLI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PLI is 200.4

Results

The school met and surpassed this measure by earning an aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) of 134 compared to the target of 101.

⁴ In contrast to NYSED's Performance Index, the PLI does not account for year-to-year growth toward proficiency.

Mathematics 2015-16 Performance Level Index (PLI)

Number in	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level								
Cohort	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Level 4		
447*	17		32		27		24		
	PI	=	32	+	27	+	24	=	83
					27	+	24	=	<u>51</u>
							PH	=	134

^{*}includes Grade 8 students who took the Regents exam instead of the state exam

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

A school compares the performance of tested students enrolled in at least their second year to that of all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.⁵ Students in grade 8 who took the NYS Algebra I Regents exam were also included at levels 3 and 4 if they passed the exam with at least a 65%.

Results

OWN has met and surpassed this measure, with 51.8 percent of its students meeting proficiency levels as compared to 42.9 percent of District 30 students.

2015-16 State Mathematics Exam
OWNCS and NYC District 30 Performance by Grade Level

too and it is bistrict out crioiniance by crade b									
	Per	cent of Stude	nts at Proficie	ency					
	OWNCS St	WNCS Students In At All NYC [District 30					
Grade	Least 2	^{2nd} Year	Stud	lents					
	Doroont	Number	Doroont	Number					
	Percent	Tested	Percent	Tested					
3	65.3	72	43.8						
4	66.2	74	47.0						
5	52.6	70	41.1						
6	39.0	59	46.2						
7	54.5	66	41.6						
8	30.0*	70*	37.4						
All	51.8	411	42.9						

*includes grade 8 students who took Regents exam and state exam Evaluation and Additional Evidence

⁵ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

OWN students exceeded the aggregate district performance by 8.9 percentage points. Grades 3, 4, 5, and 7 surpassed the district level; district 30 students in grades 6 and 8 surpassed OWN students.

The data presented above and below for grade 8 includes students who took the state exam and the regents Algebra I exam. Of the 70 students listed, 16 took the Algebra 1 regents and scored above 65%, meeting proficiency levels equivalent to the level 3 and 4 of the state test. Not withstanding the 100% pass rate on the Algebra 1 exam, only 11% of the remaining grade 8 students passed the state exam.

Over the last several years, OWN has shown continued improvement in mathematics achievement levels. In 2014, only 46% of OWN students passed the state exam, that number increased to 50% in 2015 and then 52% in 2016.

Mathematics Performance of OWNCS and NYC District 30 by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of	Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Who Are									
	at	Proficiency (Compared to	o NYC Distri	ct 30 Stude	nts					
Grade	201	3-14	201	4-15	201	5-16					
	OWNCS	District 30	OWNCS	District	OWNICS	District					
	OWNCS	DISTRICT 30	trict 30 OWNCS 30 OWNCS		OWNCS	30					
3	60.3	42.0	54.5	42.9	65.3	72					
4	50.0	44.0	63.0	44.2	66.2	74					
5	48.7	41.0	43.8	45.8	52.6	70					
6	53.7	41.0	52.3	44.6	39.0	59					
7	47.2	38.0	49.3	42.3	54.5	66					
8	16.4	34.0	27.8	33.8	30.0*	37					
All	<u>46.0</u>	40.0	<u>50.4</u>	42.4	<u>51.8</u>	411					

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar economically disadvantaged percentage. The difference between the schools' actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Results

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2015-16 analyses are not yet available.

2015-16 Mathematics Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Economically Disadvantage	Number Tested	Percent of Students at Levels 3&4		Difference between Actual - and Predicted	Effect Size
	d		Actual	Predicted		
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
All						

School's Overall Comparative Performance:	
Write in Comparative Performance Analysis from report here	

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

Data for the 2015-16 administration of the mathematics exam has not been received, therefore the analysis and evaluation of the data for this measure has not been done at this time.

Mathematics Comparative Performance by School Year

School Year	Grades	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch/ Economically Disadvantaged	Number Tested	Actual	Predicted	Effect Size
2013-14	3-8	77.3	437	41.3	22.0	1.37
2014-15	3-8	76.3	421	40.6	22.1	1.27
2015-16	3-8					

Goal 2: Growth Measure⁶

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state

⁶ See Guidelines for <u>Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan</u> for an explanation.

exam in 2013-14 and also have a state exam score in 2012-13 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2012-13 scores are ranked by their 2013-14 scores and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the statewide median, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50.

Results

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2015-16 analysis is not yet available.

2015-16 Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

		Growth entile
Grade	School	Statewide
		Median
4		50.0
5		50.0
6		50.0
7		50.0
8		50.0
All		50.0

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

Data for the 2015-16 school year has not been released and so the analysis and evaluation for the current year has not been completed.

Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

Grad		Mean Growth Percentile						
e	2013-14	2014-15	2015- 16	Statewide Median				
4	44.7	54.6		50.0				
5	47.4	43.4		50.0				
6	54.6	56.6		50.0				
7	55.8	58.8		50.0				
8	51.2	42.6		50.0				
All	<u>50.6</u>	<u>52.2</u>		50.0				

ADDITIONAL & OPTIONAL MATHEMATICS DATA

Goal: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of Grade 8 students who take the New York State Regents Algebra I Common Core Mathematics exam will score at least 65.

Method

The school administered the New York State Regents Mathematics exam Algebra 1 Common Core to a group of Grade 8 students in June 2016. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass and at least 85 to be designated as college ready. The state has designated that students who earn a score of at least 85 on a given mathematics Regents exam has earned a college ready score.

Results

On the New York State Regents Integrated Algebra exam, 100% of all tested Grade 8 students earned a passing grade of at least 65. Of these, 50% scored at levels designated as college ready by the New York State Education Department by scoring at least 85 on the mathematics Regents exam.

2016 Mathematics Regents—Algebra 1 Common Core Performance Level and Passing

Voor	Number	Percent o	Percent		
Year	Tested	55	Passing		
2016	16	100	100	50	100.0%
2015	13	100	92	8	100.0%
2014	18	100	100	0	100.0%

Evaluation

The sixteen grade 8 students who took the Algebra 1 Common Core Regents exam were faced with completing a very challenging high school level. All sixteen students passed the Regents exam, but it should be noted that the lowest score was a 78 and the out of the sixteen students who sat for the exam 88% of them scored at or above 80 on the exam. These are very strong results.

Since the inception of the Algebra 1 Common Core Regents exam OWN students have done well and accomplished very strong results. As the table above shows while 100% of OWN students who took the exam passed the exam (except 1 student in 2015), there has been a steady increase in the numbers and percentages of OWN students who are scoring at the advanced level earning at least an 85 on the exam. In 2016, 50% of the OWN students scored higher than 85 and has earned advanced credits towards their Advanced Regents high school diploma. Since the school began keeping data for the Algebra 1 Regents exam, there has been a drastic improvement in the numbers of students who are scoring at the advanced level on the exam.

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of grade 8 OWN students passing the Algebra I Common Core Mathematics Regents exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of NYC District 30.

Method

The school compares the performance of its Grade 8 students who take the New York State Regents Algebra I Common Core mathematics exam to the performance of all NYC District 30 students who took the same exam. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the most recently available district results are presented. Our World Neighborhood Charter School has also chosen to compare its results

to two additional neighboring district 30 middle schools—IS 227 Louis B. Armstrong, and Renaissance Charter School who also administer the exam to grade 8 students. OWN chose IS 227 and the Renaissance Charter School as comparison benchmarks for different reasons. IS 227 was chosen in order to set a high bar for achievement because it is a competitive and selective middle and high school program that consistently has very strong results. Renaissance was chosen because it is the only other charter school in District 30.

Results

At the time of the writing of this report the relevant data on how NYC District 30, IS227 Louis B. Armstrong and Renaissance Charter School students performed on the 2016 Algebra 1 Common Core Regents exam is not available.

Additionally the table below demonstrates that since the 2014 administration of the Algebra I Common Core Regents exam, OWN students have outperformed District 30 and Renaissance Charter School students. In 2014, 100% of OWN students passed the exam, while on average only 70% of District 30 students and 86% of Renaissance Charter School students passed the exam. In 2015, the positive performance of OWN students continued resulting in 92% passing as compared to a pass rate of 64% and 77% for District 30 students and Renaissance Charter School students respectively. As the table shows in 2014 no OWN student scored at the advanced level, however by 2015 8% of OWN students scored at the advanced level. OWN student achievement at the advanced level surpassed both District 30 and Renaissance Charter School student achievement and compared favorably with the 9% of students at IS 227.

2016 Mathematics Regents—Algebra 1 Common Core by OWN Charter School and Three NYC District 30 Schools

Year	OWN Charter NYC District 30		: 30	IS 227-Louis B.			Renaissance					
	School				l		Armstrong			Charte	er Scho	ol
	Percen	t at or	Nos.	Percei	nt at	Nos.	Percer	nt at	Nos.	Percer	it at or	Nos.
	above		tested	or abo	ve	tested	or abo	ve	tested	above		tested
	65	85		65	85		65	85		65	85	
201	100	50	16									
6	%	%										
201	92%	8%	13	64%	4%	2782	100	9%	176	77%	2%	44
5							%					
201	100	0%	18	70%	16%	4462	100	21	90	86%	3%	59
4	%						%	%				

Summary of the of the Mathematics Goal

During the last several years OWN has taken steps to improve its students' performance in mathematics. First, the school invested in new software support programs for all children and worked to extend support and remediation for grade 3-8 students in our afterschool program. In addition the school increased the amount of mathematics instructional time and staff for professional development. The school began to see steady improvements from 2013 through 2015. In 2015, in order to reduce the burden taking both the grade 8 state exam in mathematics and the regents exam, students who were enrolled in the regents

level course did not sit for the state test. The school saw a great decrease in the performance of its 8th grade.

The analysis in this report is not complete, because at the present time data used to make comparisons have not been received. However, from the present data OWN has made strides towards meeting the overall absolute measure of having 75% of its student pass the state exam. Our numbers compared to our local district 30 students continue to be good, even though OWN did not surpass the district on all grade levels.

Туре	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics exam for grades 3-8.	Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Level Index (PLI) on the state mathematics exam will meet that year's Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2013-14 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Growth	Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the state's unadjusted median growth percentile.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

As OWN embarks on the 2016-17 school year, it feels better that the mathematics teachers in the middle school are not all new to the profession and that with a revamped and more intensive and directive professional development program that there will be a corresponding improvement in teaching and learning.

Last year the school also made a shift in its assessment protocols and plans to continue to use and develops its ongoing assessments more rigorously. While OWN believes that is on the right track with the content of the curriculum, it feels that it must take some additional time in the fall to ensure that the materials that is being covered is appropriate and rigorous enough. The school will once again embark on revising and retooling its curriculum at all levels, with particular focus on the middle school level.

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Science

All students attending the Our World Neighborhood Charter School will become proficient in their understanding and use of Science.

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State science examination.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program science assessment to all students in 4th grade and to some 8th grade students in spring 2016. The school also administered the New York State Science Regents Exam in Living Environment to several 8th grade students. The school converted each student's raw score to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students enrolled in at least their second year to score at proficiency.

Results

In aggregate, OWN students in grades 4 and 8 met and surpassed the target of this measure by 12 percentage points

OWNCS Performance on 2015-16 State Science Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

	Percent of Students at Proficiency					
	All tested OWNCS		OWNCS Students In At			
Grade	Students		Least 2	^{2nd} Year		
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number		
	Proficient	Tested	Proficient	Tested		
4	93	80	92	77		
8*	73	71	73	69		
All	<u>83.0</u>	151	<u>83.0</u>	146		

^{*} data is a combination of scores on NYS Science exam and NYS Regents exam

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

OWN students met the goal and continue to do well in science. Grade 4 continues to produce excellent results year after year with over 90% of students scoring at levels 3 and 4. Grade 8 students not consistently met the 75% benchmark each year. Over the years the percentage of grade 8 students who score at levels 3 and 4 have ranged from 64% in 2014 up to 75% in 2015 and down to 73% in 2016.

Overall student performance has been relatively stable over the years. In both 2014 and 2015, 85% of grade 4 and 8 students were proficient, in 2016 that number decreased to 83%. The decrease can be attributed to the lower performance level of the grade 8 students in 2016.

Science Performance by Grade Level and School Year

е	Second Year at Proficiency					
	2013-14		2014-15		2015-16	
	Percent	Numbe	Doroo	Numbe	Percent	Numbe
	Proficien	r	Perce nt	r	Proficien	r
	t	Tested	111	Tested	t	Tested
4	92	77	95	73	92	77
8	64	59	75	76	73	69
All	<u>85</u>	136	<u>85</u>	149	<u>83</u>	146

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state science exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

The school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year and the results for the respective grades in the local school district.

Results

At this point in August 2016, data for district 30 performance on the state science and regents exams have not been release.

2015-16 NY State Science Exam OWNCS and NYC District 30 Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Proficiency				
	OWNCS Students In At		All NYC District 30		
Grade	Least 2 nd Year		Students		
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
	Proficient	Tested	Proficient	Tested	
4					
8					

Evaluation and Additional Evidence

The analysis and evaluation of the 2016 data cannot be completed at this time since District 30 has not been released.

However, at this point OWN is confident that the trend for OWN student achievement in science will continue compared to District 30. OWN students have consistently outperformed their district 30 compatriots by significant amounts in grade 4 and to a lesser degree in grade 8.

Science Performance of OWNCS and NYC District 30 by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of OWNCS Students at Proficiency and Enrolled in At Least their				
Grade	Second Year Compared to NYC District 30 Students				
	2013-14 2014-15 2015-16				

	OWNCS	District 30	OWNCS	District 30	OWNCS	District 30
4						
8						
All						

Summary of the Science Goal

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Туре	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State examination.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the specific results and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

NCLB

Goal 4: NCLB

All students attending the Our World Neighborhood Charter School will become proficient in their understanding and use of Science.

Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status is in good standing: the state has not identified the school as a Focus School nor determined that it has met the criteria to be identified as school requiring a local assistance plan.

Method

Because *all* students are expected to meet the state's learning standards, the federal No Child Left Behind legislation stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet state proficiency standards. New York, like all states, established a system for making these determinations for its public schools. Each year the state issues School Report Cards. The report cards indicate each school's status under the state's No Child Left Behind (NCLB) accountability system.

Results

Our World Neighborhood Charter School has been designated a school in good standing by the NY State Education Department for the 2015-16 school year.

The table below shows that since 2013, Our World Neighborhood Charter School has been designated as a school in good standing thus meeting the overall requirements of NCLB.

NCLB Status by Year

Year	Status
2013-14	Good Standing
2014-15	Good Standing
2015-16	Good Standing

Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort⁷

Cohort Designatio n	Number in Cohort	Percent Passing with a score of 65
2009		
2010		
2011		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade mathematics exam will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade math exam will meet the college and career ready standard (currently scoring 80 on a New York State Regents math exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the mathematics requirement for graduation with a Regents diploma / the college and career readiness standard.

Results

⁷ Based on the highest score for each student on the Mathematics Regents exam

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2010 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

Indicate <u>65</u> or <u>80</u> passing score

Mathematics Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 / 80 among Students Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on a Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

SAME FOR ALL SCHOOLS

Method

In receiving a waiver for its federal No Child Left Behind accountability system, the State Education Department now law holds high schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards meeting college and career readiness standards. See page 72 of SED's ESEA waiver application for the high school AMOs:

http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver_REVISED.pdf

The AMO continues to be SED's basis for determining if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the annual goal. To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have an Accountability Performance Level (APL) that equals or exceeds 2014-15 mathematics AMO of <u>154.</u>

The APL is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of students in the Accountability Cohort at Levels 2 through 4 to the sum of the percent of students at Level 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible APL is 200. The Regents exams are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Level 1, 65 to 79 is Level 2, 80 to 89 is Level 3, and 90 to 100 is Level 4. The Regents Common Core exams in mathematics are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is level 1; 65 to 73 is level 2, 74 to 84 is level 3, and 85 to 100 is level 4.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure, by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure. This section can also be used to explain the results in

the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing a Regents mathematics exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO 2012-13

(§) Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) in mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed the APL of comparable students from the local school district.

REQUIRED FOR ACCOUNTABILITY PLANS DEVELOPED IN 2012-13 OR LATER

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.⁸

Results

Provide brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Select the appropriate table depending on Accountability Plan.

Summary of the High School Mathematics Goal 9

Present a narrative providing an overview of which measures the school achieved, as well as an overall discussion of its attainment of this Accountability Plan goal.

Use the first summary if the Accountability Plan is prior to 2012-13; use the second if it is from 2012-13 or later.

Туре	Measure (Accountability Plan Prior to 2012-13)	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability	Achieved/

⁸ The New York State Report Card provides the district results for students scoring at or above 65.

⁹ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

	Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will did not score proficient on the New York State 8th grade mathematics exam will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents mathematics exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Absolute	Each year, the Accountability Performance Level (APL) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve
Comparative	Each year, the percent to students in the high school Accountability Cohort passing a New York State Regents mathematics exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Accountability Cohort from the local school district. (Using 2013-14 school district results.)	Achieved/ Did Not Achieve

Action Plan

Narrative explaining what specific steps the school will take to improve or maintain academic performance based on the *specific results* and patterns associated with this goal, focusing in particular on strategic interventions including providing enhanced support or program revisions for explicit grades, cohorts, or student sub-populations based on the data presented.

Include the following section under the Accountability Plan science goal.

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Method

New York State administers multiple high school science assessments. Our World Neighborhood Charter School administered Living Environment. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass. Students scoring at least an 85 are awarded credit towards an Advanced Regents diploma and are designated as college ready.

Results

Brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure; i.e., the percent of students in the 2011 Cohort who have passed the exam with a comparison to previous years' performance.

NYS Science Regents Living Environment Passing Rate with a Score of 65

Year	Number of students	Percent Passing with a score of 65
2014		
2015		
3016		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure and notable performance in specific cohorts. Also, use this section to discuss the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Provide narrative discussing passing rates on individual assessments, and additional analysis of the data such as performance of cohorts that have not yet completed their fourth year, showing the school is making progress towards meeting the measure's target.

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the local school district.

Method

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the local school district. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

Results

Provide brief narrative highlighting results in the data table that directly addresses the measure.

Science Regents Passing Rate of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

	Charter School		School District	
Cohort	Percent	Cohort	Percent	Cohort
	Passing	Size	Passing	Size

2009		
2010		
2011		

Evaluation

Narrative explicitly stating whether the school met the measure and discussing by how much the school fell short of or exceeded the measure, and notable performance in specific cohorts and populations. This section can also be used to explain the results in the context of the school program, attributing the results to effective practices or problem areas.

Additional Evidence

Narrative discussing additional analysis of the data such as trends over time, or the interim performance of cohorts that have not yet reached their fourth, showing year the school is making progress towards or maintaining a high level of performance.

nclude the following some science section.	ection as a separa	ate Accountabili	ty Plan subject ar	ea goal following
ne science section.				7

APPENDIX B: OPTIONAL GOALS

The following section contains a Parent Satisfaction optional goal, as well as examples of possible optional measures.

Goal 5: Parent Satisfaction

Parents and students at OWN Charter will indicate satisfaction with the school's educational programs.

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year two-thirds of parents will demonstrate satisfaction with the school's program based on a parent satisfaction survey.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how the school developed, administered, collected and analyzed the survey. The school presents results as a percentage of all families in the school, not as a percentage of respondents only.

Results

Provide a narrative of parents' responses.

2014-15 Parent Satisfaction Survey Response Rate

Number of	Number of	Response	
Responses	Families	Rate	
<mark>##</mark>	<mark>##</mark>	<mark>%</mark>	

2014-15 Parent Satisfaction on Key Survey Results

	Percent of
	Respondents Satisfied
Item	Satisfied
	<mark>%</mark>

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure with a discussion of individual items, changes from previous years, areas of concern, etc.

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year, 90 percent of all students enrolled during the course of the year return the following September.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how students are tracked year to year

Results

Present a narrative describing number of students in various categories and the retention rate.

2015-16 Student Retention Rate

	Number of	Number of	Retention Rate
2013-14	Students Who	Students Who	2014-15 Re-enrollment ÷
Enrollment	Graduated in	Returned in 2014-	(2013-14 Enrollment –
	2013-14	15	Graduates)
#	<mark>#</mark>	<mark>#</mark>	<mark>%</mark>

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure and how close the retention rate was to the target.

Additional Evidence

Year	Retention Rate
2013-14	%
2014-15	<mark>%</mark>
2015-16	

Goal S: Absolute Measure

Each year the school will have a daily attendance rate of at least 95 percent.

Method

Provide a narrative explaining how the school tracks student attendance and calculates its daily attendance rate.

Results

Provide a narrative describing the year's attendance rate.

Evaluation

Provide a narrative explicitly stating whether or not the school met the measure and how close the attendance rate was to the target.

Additional Evidence

Year	Average Daily Attendance Rate
2013-14	<mark>%</mark>
2014-15	<mark>%</mark>
2015-16	



Entry 4 Expenditures per Child

Last updated: 08/01/2017

Financial Information

This information is required of ALL charter schools. Provide the following measures of fiscal performance of the charter school in Appendix B (Total Expenditures and Administrative Expenditures Per Child):

1. Total Expenditures Per Child

To calculate 'Total Expenditures per Child' take <u>total expenditures</u> (from the unaudited 2016-17 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and <u>divide by</u> the year end FTE student enrollment. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas).

Note: The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.html

Line 1: Total Expenditures	11782467
Line 2: Year End FTE student enrollment	735
Line 3: Divide Line 1 by Line 2	16031

2. Administrative Expenditures per Child

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' To calculate "Administrative Expenditures per Child" first add together the following:

- 1. Take the <u>relevant portion</u> from the 'personnel services cost' <u>row</u> and the 'management and general' <u>column</u> (from the unaudited 2016 17 Schedule of Functional Expenses)
- 2. Any contracted administrative/management fee paid to other organizations or corporations
- 3. Take the total from above and <u>divide</u> it by the year end FTE enrollment. The relevant portion that must be included in this calculation is defined as follows:

<u>Administrative Expenditures:</u> Administration and management of the charter school includes the activities and personnel of the offices of the chief school officer, the finance or business offices, school operations personnel, data management and reporting, human resources, technology, etc. It also includes those administrative and management services provided by other organizations or corporations on behalf of the charter school for which the charter school pays a fee or other compensation. Do not include the FTE of personnel whose role is to directly support the instructional program.

Notes:

The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.html.

Employee benefit costs or expenditures should not be reported in the above calculations.

Line 1: Relevant Personnel Services Cost (Row)	1184828
Line 2: Management and General Cost (Column)	593362
Line 3: Sum of Line 1 and Line 2	1778190
Line 5: Divide Line 3 by the Year End FTE student enrollment	2419

Thank you.



GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANNUAL BUDGET/QUARTERLY REPORT

TEMPLATE TABS

1- GRAY tab contains the Instructions

Instructions	Provides description of tabs and input requirements.
Funding by District	Charter School Tuition Rates

2- BLUE tabs require input of information

1.) Name of School	>Select school name from list.
	>Enter contact information.
2.) Enrollment	Enter enrollment information for Annual Budget (& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: >Enrollment by Grade >Enrollment by District
3.) Staffing Plan	Enter staffing plan information for Annual Budget (& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: >Full Time Equivalent (FTE), by Position Category, By Quarter
4.) Yearly Budget	Enter Yearly Budget information. Includes: >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data, and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals are being submitted. (Note: Quarterly Revenue allocation may be set) >Budgeted Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." >Budgeted FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab "3.) Staffing Plan." >All other sources of revenue >All expenses >Budget Revisions, as necessary and approved by the school's Board of Directors, should be submitted when submitting Quarterly
5.) Balance Sheet	Enter Balance Sheet information for EdCorps. Separate schools merged into a primary EdCorp should NOT use this tab. >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data, and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals are being submitted.

6.) Quarterly Report	Enter Actual Quarterly Report information . Includes:
	>Actual Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year
	are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment."
	>Actual FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab
	"3.) Staffing Plan."
	>All other sources of revenue
	>All expenses
7.) Annual Report Requirement	Complete when submitting Actual Quarter 4.

CELL COLORS & GUIDANCE COMMENTS

	= Enter information into the light BLUE shaded cells.
	= Cells labeled in ORANGE containe guidance regarding the input of information.
1	= Cells containing RED triangles in the upper right corner contain "guidance comments" on that particular line item. Please "mouse-over" the triangle to reveal each comment.

Ver. 20170606

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District
* (Sum of Charter School Basic Tuition and Supplemental Basic Tuition)



ANNUAL BUDGET & QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE

Our World Neighborhood Charter School

00		\sim	O	
36	п	u	u	L

Turne.	Name: Our World Neig	borhood Charter School
--------	----------------------	------------------------

CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact Name:	Karrine Montaque
Contact Title:	Director Of Finance
Contact Email:	
Contact Phone:	

REPORT PERIOD

Current Academic Year:	2017-18		
Prior Academic Year:	2016-17		

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL 2017-18

ENROLLMENT BY GRADES							
GRADES	K	1	2	3	4	5	6
INITIAL BUDGETED ENROLLMENT	75	81	104	81	81	80	77
TOTAL ENROLLMENT = 720							

				ENR	OLLMENT	BY DISTRIC	CT	
		PRIOR YEAR	ANNUAL BUDGET R TOTAL DISTRICTS/ENROLLMENT BY QUARTER					RTER
			QUAR	TER 1		TER 2	QUAR	
		ACTUAL	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised
NUMBER OF SCHOO	L DISTRICTS ENROLLED:	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
NUMBER OF STUDE	NTS ENROLLED:	0	720	0	720	0	720	0
			COMPLETI	ELY BLANK.	budget revision If budget revisibe completed	ions ARE mad	de, the entire	
		PRIOR YEAR				NUAL BUDG		
		2016-17	QUAR	TER 1		TER 2		TER 3
DDIMA DVIOTUES	DISTRICT NAME (S)	Actual	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgeted Enrollment	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgeted	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgete
PRIMARY/OTHER PRIMARY District	DISTRICT NAME(S) NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	Enrollment	Enrollment 720	Enrollment	Enrollment 720	Enrollment	Enrollment 720	Enrollme
SECONDARY District			720		720		720	

	PRIOR YEAR ANNUAL BUDGET			ET			
	2016-17	QUAR	RTER 1	QUAR	TER 2	QUAR	TER 3
	Actual	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgeted	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgeted	Original Budgeted	Revised Budgeted
PRIMARY/OTHER DISTRICT NAME(S)	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment
				,	,		,
					,		
				,	,		
		_		,	,		,
							-

7	8	9	10	11	12
72	69				

QUARTER 4				
Original	Revised			
1	0			
720	0			

the 'REVISED' Column(s) udget columns for the

ACTUAL QUARTERLY							
TOTAL DISTRICTS/ENROLLMENT							
QUARTER 1	QUARTER 2	QUARTER 3	QUARTER 4				
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual				
0	0	0	0				
0	0	0	0				

QUARTER 4				
Original	Revised			
Budgeted	Budgeted			
Enrollment	Enrollment			
720				

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	R
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	RTER 4
	ctual ollment

	ACTUAL ENROLLMENT BY QUARTER					
QUARTER 4		QUARTER 1	QUARTER 2	QUARTER 3	QUARTER 4	
Original	Revised					
Budgeted	Budgeted	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	
Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	Enrollment	
			•	•		
			,			
				,		
,						
-			,	,		
				,		

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL 2017-18

PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVALI

STAFFING PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVALENT ("FTE")

*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE	*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE
positions in the "blue" cells.	positions in the "blue" cells.

*NOTE: If there are NO budget revisions at the time of quarterly submittal leave the 'REVISED' Column(s) COMPLETELY BLANK.

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE	ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE
Executive Management	Executive Management
Instructional Management	Instructional Management
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	Deans, Directors & Coordinators
CFO / Director of Finance	CFO / Director of Finance
Operation / Business Manager	Operation / Business Manager
Administrative Staff	Administrative Staff
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

PRIOR YEAR	
2016-17	
ACTUAL	(
0.0	

ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE							
Q	1	Q	2	G	3	Q	4
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised
0.9		0.9		0.9		0.9	
2.0		2.0		2.0		2.0	
7.0		7.0		7.0		7.0	
0.9		0.9		0.9		0.9	
2.0		2.0		2.0		2.0	
11.7		11.7		11.7		11.7	
24.5	0.0	24.5	0.0	24.5	0.0	24.5	0.0

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE	INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE	PRIOR YEAR
		2016-17
		ACTUAL
Teachers - Regular	Teachers - Regular	
Teachers - SPED	Teachers - SPED	
Substitute Teachers	Substitute Teachers	
Teaching Assistants	Teaching Assistants	
Specialty Teachers	Specialty Teachers	
Aides	Aides	
Therapists & Counselors	Therapists & Counselors	
Other	Other	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	0.0

OR YEAR	
016-17	
CTUAL	0
0.0	

ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE							
C	21	C	2	C)3	Q4	
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised
36.0		36.0		36.0		36.0	
11.0		11.0		11.0		11.0	
0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
17.0		17.0		17.0		17.0	
14.0		14.0		14.0		14.0	
0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0	
0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
79.0	0.0	79.0	0.0	79.0	0.0	79.0	0.0

NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL F	TENON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL F
Nurse	Nurse
Librarian	Librarian
Custodian	Custodian
Security	Security
Other	Other
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE	TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE

ì
_

	ANNUAL PURCETER ETC						
C	ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4						
Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised	Original	Revised
1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0	
1.0		1.0		1.0		1.0	
2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
105.5	0.0	105.5	0.0	105.5	0.0	105.5	0.0

/ORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTE 2017-18

PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVALI

*NOTE:	Enter the number of FTE	ld be input.
positions	in the "blue" cells	

*NOTE: State the assumptions that are being made for personnel FTE levels.

ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE	
	Q4
	Actual
Executive Management	
Instructional Management	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	
CFO / Director of Finance	
Operation / Business Manager	
Administrative Staff	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	0.0

Description of Assumptions		

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE	
	Q4
	Actual
Teachers - Regular	
Teachers - SPED	
Substitute Teachers	
Teaching Assistants	
Specialty Teachers	
Aides	
Therapists & Counselors	
Other	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	0.0

Description of Assumptions										

NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE								
	Q4							
	Actual							
Nurse								
Librarian								
Custodian								
Security								
Other								
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	0.0							

Description of Assumptions

TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE

0.0

		OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOO Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18							
Total Revenue		-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-	3,053,783
Total Expenses		-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-	3,209,754
Net Income		-	(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-	(155,971)
Actual Student Enrollment		-1	720	-	-	720	-	-	720
		Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Qu	ıarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd (
		2016-17 Revenue Per Pupil	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget
REVENUE REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2017-18	Allocate Per Pupil Revenue by Quarter		If budget rev		budget revisionade, the entire	"REVISED" I		ns for the affe
	er Pupil Rate	PPR %/Qtr->	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%		25.0%
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527		2,614,860		-	2,614,860	-	-	2,614,860
- -			-	-		_			
-	_		_	_	_	-	_	_	_
-	_		-	-	_	-	-	-	_
-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_		-	-		-	-	-	-
-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-		-	-		-	-		
_			-			-			
-	_		_	_		_	_	_	_
-	_		-	_	_	-	_	_	_
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	14,527	-	2,614,860	-	-	2,614,860	-	-	2,614,860
Special Education Revenue			285,733		-	285,733		-	285,733
Grants									
Stimulus					-			-	
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Dev Other	reiopment)		520			1,560			1,560
NYC DoE Rental Assistance			320			1,500			1,500
Other								_	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		-	2,901,113	-	<u>=</u>	2,902,153	-	-	2,902,153
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING									
IDEA Special Needs			-		-	-		-	-
Title I			40,746		-	40,746		-	40,746
Title Funding - Other			5,896		-	5,896 52,437		-	5,896
School Food Service (Free Lunch)			17,479		_	52 /12 /		_	52,437

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18 Total Revenue 2,979,581 3,056,783 3,053,783 Total Expenses 3,047,590 3,123,581 3,209,754 Net Income (68,009)(66,798)(155,971)**Actual Student Enrollment** 720 720 720 Prior Year Actua 1st Quarter - 7/1 - 9/30 2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31 3rd C 2016-17 Original Revenue Per Original Revised Original Revised Pupil **Budget Budget** Variance Budget **Budget** Variance **Budget** Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation Other Other 99,079 99,079 TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES 64,121 LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations **Fundraising** 3,000 **Erate Reimbursement Earnings on Investments** Interest Income 2,650 3,975 3,975 _ Food Service (Income from meals) 3,697 11,091 11,091 **Text Book** 29,485 29,485 **OTHER** 8,000 8,000 8,000 14,347 55,551 52,551 TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES 2,979,581 TOTAL REVENUE 3,056,783 3,053,783

		OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOO Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18								
Total Revenue		-1	2,979,581			3,056,783		_	3,053,783	
Total Expenses		- 1	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-	3,209,754	
Net Income Actual Student Enrollment		-	(68,009) 720	-	-	(66,798) 720	-	-	(155,971) 720	
Actual Student Enrollment		-1	720	-	•	120	-	-	120	
		Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	- 9/30	2nd Qu	ıarter - 10/1	- 12/31	3rd	
		2016-17 Revenue Per	Original	Revised		Original	Revised		Original	
		Pupil	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget	
EXPENSES ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No.									
	of Positions		46 DEC		I	46.056			46.056	
Executive Management	0.90 2.00		46,956 70,375		_	46,956 70,375		-	46,956	
Instructional Management	7.00		155,843		_	155,843		-	70,375 155,843	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators CFO / Director of Finance	0.90		35,000			35,000		-	35,000	
Operation / Business Manager	2.00		28,250			28,250			28,250	
Administrative Staff	11.70		180,003		=	180,003		=	180,003	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	24.50	-1	516,427	-	_	516,427	-	-	516,427	
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS										
Teachers - Regular	36.00		638,948		_	638,948		_	638,948	
Teachers - SPED	11.00		173,438		_	173,438		-	173,438	
Substitute Teachers	-		•		-			-		
Teaching Assistants	17.00		181,703		-	181,703		-	181,703	
Specialty Teachers	14.00		255,002		_	255,002		-	255,002	
Aides	-				-			-		
Therapists & Counselors	1.00		23,039		-	23,039		-	23,039	
Other	_				_			_	60,000	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	79.00	-	1,272,130	-	-	1,272,130	-	-	1,332,130	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS										
Nurse	-				_			_		
Librarian	1.00		21,147		-	21,147		-	21,147	
Custodian	1.00		10,000		-	10,000		-	10,000	
Security	-				-			-		
Other	_				_			_		
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	-	31,147	-	-	31,147	-	-	31,147	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	105.50	-]	1,819,704	-	-	1,819,704	-	-	1,879,704	
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS										
Payroll Taxes			190,792		_	190,792		-	190,792	
									187,844	

	OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18									
Total Revenue		-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-	3,053,783	
Total Expenses		-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-	3,209,754	
Net Income		-	(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-	(155,971)	
Actual Student Enrollment		-	720	-	-	720	-	-	720	
	Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Qu	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd C		
		Revenue Per Pupil	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	
Retirement / Pension			93,415		_	<u>93,415</u>			<u>93,415</u>	
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		- 1	455,174	-	-	466,425	-	-	472,051	
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	105.50	-1	2,274,878	-	-	2,286,129	-	-	2,351,755	
CONTRACTED SERVICES										
Accounting / Audit			12,000		-	12,000		-	12,000	
Legal			6,000		-	6,000		-	6,000	
Management Company Fee					-			-		
Nurse Services			0.000		-	40.000		-	40.000	
Food Service / School Lunch			6,000		-	18,000		-	18,000	
Payroll Services			30,300 300		_	30,300 300		-	30,300 300	
Special Ed Services Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)			300		-	300		-	300	
			18,100		_	49,900		-	44,000	
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		_	72,700	_	= =	116,500		= =	110,600	

	OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18									
otal Revenue	-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-	3,053,783		
otal Expenses	_	3,047,590	_	-	3,123,581	_	-	3,209,754		
et Income	_	(68,009)	_	-	(66,798)	-	-	(155,971)		
ctual Student Enrollment	-	720	-	-	720	-	-	720		
	Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Qı	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd		
	2016-17 Revenue Per Pupil	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget		
SCHOOL OPERATIONS										
Board Expenses				-			-			
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials		28,800		-	26,400		-	26,400		
Special Ed Supplies & Materials		12,500		-	6,000		-	7,000		
Textbooks / Workbooks		33,000		-	47,000		-	39,000		
Supplies & Materials other		,		-	,		-	,		
Equipment / Furniture		10,300		-	10,300		-	18,300		
Telephone		25,250		-	25,250		-	25,250		
Technology		26,883		-	28,278		-	25,975		
Student Testing & Assessment		12,000		-	-		-	23,000		
Field Trips		5,000		-	-		-	10,000		
Transportation (student)				-			-			
Student Services - other				-			-			
Office Expense		25,166		-	24,816		-	24,816		
Staff Development		41,695		-	27,100		-	26,100		
Staff Recruitment		-		-	-		-			
Student Recruitment / Marketing		5,500		-	5,500		-	6,000		
School Meals / Lunch		22,200		-	66,600		-	66,600		
Travel (Staff)		200		-	600		-	600		
Fundraising		2,000		-	3,000		-	2,000		
Other		<u>12,380</u>			<u>18,680</u>		Ξ	14,930		
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	262,874	-	-	289,524	-	-	315,971		
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE										
Insurance		22,500		-	22,500		-	22,500		
Janitorial		69,492		-	69,492		-	69,492		
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest		235,196.00		-	229,486		-	229,486		
Repairs & Maintenance		12,500		-	12,500		-	12,500		
Equipment / Furniture				-			-			
Security		21,600		-	21,600		-	21,600		
Utilities		<u>47,100</u>		_	<u>47,100</u>		=	<u>47,100</u>		
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	408,388	-	-	402,678	-	-	402,678		
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION		28,750		-	28,750		-	28,750		
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY				-			-			

		OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18									
Total Revenue	-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-	3,053,783			
Total Expenses	-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-	3,209,754			
Net Income	-	(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-	(155,971)			
Actual Student Enrollment	-	720	-	-	720	-	-	720			
	Prior Year Actua	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	- 9/30	2nd Qu	ıarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd C			
	2016-17										
	Revenue Per	Original	Revised		Original	Revised		Original			
	Pupil	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget			
TOTAL EXPENSES	=	3,047,590		=	<u>3,123,581</u>	=	=	3,209,754			
NET INCOME	_	(68,009)		<u>-</u>	(66,798)		<u>-</u>	(155,971)			

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL **Budget / Operating Plan** 2017-18 Total Revenue 2,979,581 3,056,783 3,053,783 Total Expenses 3,047,590 3,123,581 3,209,754 (155,359)C Net Income (68,009)(66,798)Actual Student Enrollment 720 720 720 Prior Year Actua 1st Quarter - 7/1 - 9/30 2nd Quarter - 10/1 - 12/31 3rd C 2016-17 Original Revenue Per Original Revised Original Revised **Budget** Pupil **Budget** Budget Variance Budget Variance Budget ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries* **Number of Districts:** 720 720 NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE 720 ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg) TOTAL ENROLLMENT 720 720 720 REVENUE PER PUPIL 4,138 4,246 4,241 EXPENSES PER PUPIL 4,233 4,338 4,458

otal Revenue		-	-	3,396,340		
otal Expenses		_	-	3,091,062	_	
et Income		-	-	305,278	-	
ctual Student Enrollment		-	-	720	-	
		uarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th C)uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
		Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
EVENUE		the 'REVISE	D' Column(s)	COMPLETEL	Y BLANK.	
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2017-18	cted quarter(s				
	2017-18 Per Pupil Rate	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527	25.070	_	2,614,860	20.070	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	_			_		
-	_	_	_	_	_	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	_	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	_	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average	14,527	_	_	2,614,860	_	
Per Pupil Funding)	11,021					
Special Education Revenue			-	285,733		
Grants						
Stimulus DYCD (Department of Youth and Community De	velonment)		-			
Other	velopilient)		_	1,560		
NYC DoE Rental Assistance				1,000		
Other			_	216,000		
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		-	-	3,118,153	-	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING						
IDEA Special Needs			_	74,240		
Title I			_	40,746		
Title Funding - Other			-	5,898		
				52,437		

Total Revenue	-	-	3,396,340	-	-
Total Expenses	-	-	3,091,062	-	-
Net Income	-	-	305,278	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment	-	-	720	-	-
	Quarter - 1/1	- 3/31	4th C	uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
	Revised		Original	Revised	
	Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation		-			-
Other		-			-
Other		_	=		_
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES	-	-	173,321	-	-
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE					
Contributions and Donations		_			_
Fundraising		_	3,000		_
Erate Reimbursement		-	80,800		-
Earnings on Investments		-			-
Interest Income		-	3,975		-
Food Service (Income from meals)		-	11,091		-
Text Book		-			-
OTHER		_	6,000		_
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES	-	-	104,866	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE		_	3,396,340		_

		1				
otal Revenue		-	-	3,396,340	-	
otal Expenses		-	-	3,091,062	_	
let Income		-	-	305,278	-	
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-	720	-	
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Q	uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
		Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
EXPENSES						
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	Avg. No.					
Evecutive Management	of Positions 0.90			61,956		
Executive Management	2.00		-	70,375		
Instructional Management Deans, Directors & Coordinators	7.00		-	155,843		
CFO / Director of Finance	0.90		_	44,000		
Operation / Business Manager	2.00		_	28,250		
Administrative Staff	11.70		_	180,003		
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	24.50	-	-	540,427	-	
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS	36.00			638,948		
Teachers - Regular Teachers - SPED	11.00		-	173,438		
Substitute Teachers	11.00		_	173,436		
Teaching Assistants	17.00		_	181,703		
Specialty Teachers	14.00		_	255,002		
Aides	-		-	200,002		
Therapists & Counselors	1.00		-	23,039		
Other			_			
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	79.00	-	-	1,272,130	-	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Nurse	_		_			
Librarian	1.00		-	21,147		
Custodian	1.00		-	10,000		
Security	-		-	. 5,555		
Other	_		_			
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	-	-	31,147	-	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	105.50	-	-	1,843,704	-	
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS						
Payroll Taxes and Benefits Payroll Taxes				190,792		
Fringe / Employee Benefits			ı - I	100,192		

Total Revenue		-	-	3,396,340		
Total Expenses			-	3,091,062	_	_
Net Income		-	-	305,278	_	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-	720	-	-
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th C)uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
		Revised		Original	Revised	
		Budget	Variance	Budget	Budget	Variance
Retirement / Pension			_	93,415		=
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		-	-	472,051	-	-
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	105.50	-	-	2,315,755	-	-
CONTRACTED SERVICES						
Accounting / Audit			-	12,000		-
Legal			-	6,000		-
Management Company Fee			-			-
Nurse Services			-			-
Food Service / School Lunch			-	18,000		-
Payroll Services			-	30,300		-
Special Ed Services			-	100		-
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)			-	20.000		-
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting			_	39,000		
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES		-	-	105,400	-	

otal Revenue	-	-	3,396,340	-	
otal Expenses		-	3,091,062	_	
et Income		_	305,278	_	
ctual Student Enrollment			720	-	
Ctual Student Enrollment		- 1	720	-	
	Quarter - 1/1	- 3/31	4th Q	uarter - 4/1 -	6/30
	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
SCHOOL OPERATIONS					
Board Expenses		-			
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials		-	26,400		
Special Ed Supplies & Materials		-	8,000		
Textbooks / Workbooks		-	13,500		
Supplies & Materials other		-	,		
Equipment / Furniture		-	7,100		
Telephone		-	25,250		
Technology		-	19,883		
Student Testing & Assessment		-	,		
Field Trips		-	5,000		
Transportation (student)		-	5,555		
Student Services - other		-			
Office Expense		-	31,816		
Staff Development		-	18,100		
Staff Recruitment		-	10,100		
Student Recruitment / Marketing		-	5,500		
School Meals / Lunch		-	66,600		
Travel (Staff)		-	600		
Fundraising		-	000		
Other			15,730		
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-		243,479	-	
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE					
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE Insurance		_	22,500		
			69,492		
Janitorial Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest		-	229,486		
Repairs & Maintenance		-	7,500		
Equipment / Furniture		-	7,500		
Security		-	21,600		
Utilities		=	<u>47,100</u>		
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	<u> </u>	-	397,678	-	
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION		- 1	28,750		
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY			25,100		

Total Revenue			3,396,340	-	-
Total Expenses		-	3,091,062	-	-
Net Income			305,278	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment			720	-	-
	Quarter - 1/°	I - 3/31	4th C	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
	Revised		Original	Revised	
),ua∏tsiget	∣ - 3/% ariance	Budget	Budget	Variance
TOTAL EXPENSES		<u> </u>	3,091,062	=	=
NET INCOME			305,278	_	_

Total Revenue Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	- Quarter - 1/1 -	- - - - - - - - -	3,396,340 3,091,062 305,278 720	- - - -	- - - -	
	Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Quarter - 4/1 - 6/30			
	Revised Budget	Variance	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Variance	
FNDOLLMENT TO be all Districts Are Linked To About Services						
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries* Number of Districts:	_	_	1	_	_	
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	<u> </u>	_	720			
-	_	_	- 120	_	_	
-	_	-	-	_	_	
-	_	-	-	-	_	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
- -		-	-			
_	_	_	-	_	_	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	_	-	_	_	_	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT		_	720	_		
TOTAL ENROLLINE	-		<u>,, 20</u>	<u>=</u>	=	
REVENUE PER PUPIL	=	<u>-</u>	<u>4,717</u>	<u> </u>	=	
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	<u> </u>	=	4,293		_	

				OUR WOR	RLD NEIGH	BORHOOD	CHARTER SCHOOL
		1		/ Operatir			STARTER SOMESE
		1	Buugei	/ Operatii	ig Fiaii	0047.40	
		1				2017-18	
		40 400 407	40 400 407		40 400 407	40 400 407	
Total Revenue		12,486,487	12,486,487	-	12,486,487		
Total Expenses		12,471,987	12,471,987	-		(12,471,987)	
Net Income		14,500	14,500	-	14,500	14,500	
Actual Student Enrollment					I	'	
			T-4-I V		WADI	ANCE	
		1	Total Year				
					Original	Revised	DESCRIPTION OF ACCUMPTION
		Original	Revised		Budget vs.	Budget vs.	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTION
		Budget	Budget	Variance	PY Budget	PY Budget	
REVENUE		1				I	
		1				I	
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES	2017-18	1				I	
	er Pupil Rate	40.450.440	40 450 440		40.450.440	40.450.440	
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527	10,459,440	10,459,440	-	10,459,440	10,459,440	
-	_		_		-	-	
-	_		_		-	-	
-	-	<u> </u>	-		-	<u> </u>	
	_		_		 		
_	_		_				
_	_	_	_		-		
-	_	_	_	_	_	-	
-	_	-	_	_	_	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	_	-	-	-	
-	_	-	_	-	-	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average	14,527	10,459,440	10,459,440	_	10,459,440	10,459,440	
Per Pupil Funding)	,						
Special Education Revenue		1,142,932	1,142,932		1,142,932	1,142,932	
Grants					1		
Stimulus DYCD (Department of Youth and Community De	volonment)		_		-	-	
Other	velopment)	5,200	5,200		5,200	5,200	
NYC DoE Rental Assistance		3,200	3,200		3,200	3,200	
Other		216,000	216,000		216,000	216,000	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		11,823,572			11,823,572		
TO THE NEVEROL PROMOTATE GOORGEO		11,020,012	11,020,012		11,020,012	11,020,012	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING						I	
IDEA Special Needs		74,240	74,240		74,240	74,240	
Title I		162,984	162,984	-	162,984	162,984	
Title Funding - Other		23,586	23,586	-	23,586	23,586	
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		174,790	174,790	-	174,790	174,790	
Grants			Page 25 of 61				

			OUR WOR	LD NEIGH	BORHOOD	CHARTER SCHOOL
		Budget	/ Operatin	ıq Plan		
			•	•	2017-18	
	1				40.400.400	
Total Revenue	12,486,487	12,486,487	-	12,486,487		
Total Expenses	12,471,987	12,471,987	-	(12,471,987)		
Net Income	14,500	14,500	-	14,500	14,500	
Actual Student Enrollment				l		
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE	
				Original	Revised	
	Original	Revised		Budget vs.	Budget vs.	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
	Budget	Budget	Variance	PY Budget		
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES	435,600	435,600	-	435,600	435,600	
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE						
Contributions and Donations	-	_	-	_	_	
Fundraising	6,000	6,000	-	6,000	6,000	
Erate Reimbursement	80,800	80,800	-	80,800	80,800	
Earnings on Investments	-	-	_	-	-	
Interest Income	14,575	14,575	-	14,575	14,575	
Food Service (Income from meals)	36,970	36,970	-	36,970	36,970	
Text Book	58,970	58,970	-	58,970	58,970	
OTHER	30,000	30,000	_	30,000	30,000	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES	227,315	227,315	-	227,315	227,315	
				17.486.487	12 4XD 4X7	

<u>12,486,487</u> <u>12,486,487</u>

TOTAL REVENUE

				OUR WOR	LD NEIGH	BORHOOD	CHARTER SCHOOL
		1	Budget	/ Operatin	g Plan	- 1	
		1		, - por	· g · · · · · · ·	2017-18	
		1				2011 10	
Total Revenue		12,486,487	12,486,487	-	12,486,487	12,486,487	
Total Expenses		12,471,987	12,471,987	_	(12.471.987)	(12,471,987)	
Net Income		14,500	14,500	_	14,500	14,500	
Actual Student Enrollment			,				
		1	Total Year		VARI	ANCE	
					Original	Revised	
		Original	Revised		Budget vs.	Budget vs.	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTION
		Budget	Budget	Variance	PY Budget	PY Budget	
		1					
EXPENSES		1					
	Avg. No.	1					
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	of Positions						
Executive Management	0.90	202,824	202,824	-	(202,824)	(202,824)	
Instructional Management	2.00	281,500	281,500	_	(281,500)	. , ,	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	7.00	623,372	623,372	-	(623,372)		
CFO / Director of Finance	0.90	149,000	149,000	-	(149,000)		
Operation / Business Manager	2.00	113,000	113,000	-	(113,000)		
Administrative Staff	11.70	720,012	720,012	-	(720,012)		
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	24.50	2,089,708	2,089,708	-	(2,089,708)		
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS	00.00	0.555.700	0.555.700		(0.555.700)	(0.555.700)	
Teachers - Regular	36.00	2,555,792	2,555,792	_		(2,555,792)	
Teachers - SPED	11.00	693,752	693,752	_	(693,752)	(693,752)	
Substitute Teachers	17.00	726,812	726,812		(726,812)	(726,812)	
Teaching Assistants Specialty Teachers	14.00	1,020,008	1,020,008		(1,020,008)		
Aides	14.00	1,020,000	1,020,000		(1,020,000)	(1,020,000)	
Therapists & Counselors	1.00	92,156	92,156		(92,156)	(92,156)	
Other	1.00	60,000	60,000		(60,000)		
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	79.00	5,148,520	5,148,520		(5,148,520)		
TOTAL MOTIOGRAPIE	10.00	0,110,020	0,110,020		(0,110,020)	(0,110,020)	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS							
Nurse	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Librarian	1.00	84,588	84,588		(84,588)	(84,588)	
Custodian	1.00	40,000	40,000	-	(40,000)	(40,000)	
Security	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Other		=	=	=	<u>=</u>	=	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	2.00	124,588	124,588	-	(124,588)	(124,588)	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	105.50	7,362,816	7,362,816	-	(7,362,816)	(7,362,816)	
DAVDOLL TAYES AND DENESTS							
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS Payroll Taxes		763,168	763,168		(763,168)	(763,168)	
Fringe / Employee Benefits			Page 28 98 763		(728,873)		

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL **Budget / Operating Plan** 2017-18 Total Revenue 12,486,487 | 12,486,487 12,486,487 12,486,487 Total Expenses 12,471,987 12,471,987 (12,471,987) (12,471,987) Net Income 14,500 14,500 14,500 14,500 Actual Student Enrollment VARIANCE **Total Year** Original Revised **DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS** Budget vs. Original Revised Budget vs. Budget Budget Variance PY Budget PY Budget (373,660) (373,660)Retirement / Pension 373,660 373,660 (1,865,701) (1,865,701) TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS 1,865,701 1,865,701 105.50 9,228,517 9,228,517 (9,228,517) (9,228,517) **TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS** CONTRACTED SERVICES Accounting / Audit 48,000 48,000 (48,000) (48,000)Legal 24,000 24,000 (24,000)(24,000)Management Company Fee **Nurse Services** 60,000 60,000 (60,000)(60,000)Food Service / School Lunch 121,200 121,200 (121,200)(121,200)**Payroll Services** 1,000 Special Ed Services 1,000 (1,000)(1,000)Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) 151,000 (151,000)(151,000)151,000 Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting 405,200 405,200 (405,200) (405,200)TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES

			OUR WOR		BORHOOD	CHARTER SCHO			
		2017-18							
otal Revenue	12,486,487	12,486,487	-	12,486,487	12,486,487				
otal Expenses	12,471,987	12,471,987	-	(12,471,987)	(12,471,987)				
let Income	14,500	14,500	-	14,500	14,500				
Actual Student Enrollment		,							
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE				
	1	rotal real							
	Original	Revised		Original	Revised	DESCRIPTION			
	Original Budget	Budget	Variance	Budget vs. PY Budget	Budget vs. PY Budget	DEGCINII 1101			
aculcol openations									
SCHOOL OPERATIONS Board Exponents		_							
Board Expenses Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	108,000	108,000		(108,000)	(108,000)				
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	33,500	33,500		(33,500)	(33,500)				
Textbooks / Workbooks	132,500	132,500		(132,500)	(132,500)				
Supplies & Materials other	102,000	102,000		(102,000)	(132,300)				
Equipment / Furniture	46,000	46,000		(46,000)	(46,000)				
Telephone	101,000	101,000		(101,000)	(101,000)				
Technology	101,019	101,019		(101,019)	(101,019)				
Student Testing & Assessment	35,000	35,000		(35,000)	(35,000)				
Field Trips	20,000	20,000	_	(20,000)	(20,000)				
Transportation (student)	-	-	_	(20,000)	- (20,000)				
Student Services - other	_	_	_	_	_				
Office Expense	106,614	106,614	-	(106,614)	(106,614)				
Staff Development	112,995	112,995	-	(112,995)	(112,995)				
Staff Recruitment	-	-	_	-	-				
Student Recruitment / Marketing	22,500	22,500	-	(22,500)	(22,500)				
School Meals / Lunch	222,000	222,000	-	(222,000)	(222,000)				
Travel (Staff)	2,000	2,000	-	(2,000)	(2,000)				
Fundraising	7,000	7,000	-	(7,000)	(7,000)				
Other	61,720	61,720	=	(61,720)	(61,720)				
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	1,111,848	1,111,848	-	(1,111,848)	(1,111,848)				
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE									
Insurance	90,000	90,000	-	(90,000)	(90,000)				
Janitorial	277,968	277,968	_	(277,968)					
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	923,654	923,654	_	(923,654)					
Repairs & Maintenance	45,000	45,000	-	(45,000)	(45,000)				
Equipment / Furniture	-	-	-	- 1	- 1				
Security	86,400	86,400	-	(86,400)	(86,400)				
Utilities	188,400	188,400		(188,400)	(188,400)				
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	1,611,422	1,611,422	-	(1,611,422)					
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	115,000	115,000		(115,000)	(115,000)				
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	-	- 10,000	_	-	-				
		Page 29 of 61							

DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS

			OUR WOR	RLD NEIGH	BORHOOD	CHARTER SCHOOL
		Budget	/ Operatin			
Total Revenue	12,486,487	12,486,487	-	12,486,487	12,486,487	
Total Expenses	12,471,987	12,471,987	-	(12,471,987)	(12,471,987)	
Net Income	14,500	14,500	-	14,500	14,500	
Actual Student Enrollment						
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE	
				Original	Revised	
	Original	Revised		Budget vs.		DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
	Budget	Budget	Variance		PY Budget	
TOTAL EXPENSES	<u>12,471,987</u>	12,471,987	=	(12,471,90	(12,4 <i>1</i> 1,98	
NET INCOME	<u>14,500</u>	<u>14,500</u>	<u>=</u>	14,500	14,500	

				N B NEIGH	20211002	CUARTER COURSE
					ROKHOOD	CHARTER SCHOOL
	1	Budget	/ Operatin	ig Plan	0047.40	
	1				2017-18	ı
Total Revenue	12,486,487	12,486,487		12,486,487	12,486,487	
Total Expenses		12,471,987	_			
Net Income	14,500	14,500	-	14,500	14,500	
Actual Student Enrollment						
		Total Year		VARI	ANCE	
				Original	Revised	
	Original	Revised		Budget vs.	Budget vs.	DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS
	Budget	Budget	Variance	PY Budget	PY Budget	
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE						
-						
- -						
-						
-						
- ALL OTUED Cabaal Districts: / Weighted Aug \						
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Weighted Avg)						
TOTAL ENROLLMENT						
REVENUE PER PUPIL						
EXPENSES PER PUPIL						

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL ALANCE SHEET 2017-18

	Prior Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	2016-17	As of 9/30	As of 12/31	As of 3/31	As of 6/30
<u>ASSETS</u>					
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	-	-	-
Grants and contracts receivable	-	-	-	-	-
Accounts receivables	-	-	-	-	-
Prepaid Expenses Contributions and other receivables	-		-	-	-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
TOTAL CONNENT ACCETO	_	_	_	_	_
PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net	-	-	-	-	-
OTHER ASSETS			_	=	Ξ.
TOTAL ASSETS			-	-	<u>-</u>
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS					
EIABIEITIEG AND NET AGGETG					
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	-	-	-	-	-
Accrued payroll and benefits Deferred Revenue	-	-	-	-	-
Current maturities of long-term debt	- -		<u>-</u>	-	<u> </u>
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable	_	_	-	-	-
Other		-	-	-	=
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	-	-		-	-
LONG-TERM DEBT and NOTES PAYABLE, net current maturities	-		=	=	Ξ.
TOTAL LIABILITIES					
TOTAL LIABILITIES	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ξ	=
NET ASSETS					
Unrestricted	-	-	-	-	-
Temporarily restricted	Ξ.	Ξ	<u>-</u>	Ξ	Ξ
TOTAL NET ASSETS	=	=	=		=
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	•				
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	, <u>-</u>				

							2017	7-18	
Total Revenue		-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-	
Total Expenses		-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-	
Net Income		-	(68,009)	-	-	- (66,798) -			
Actual Student Enrollment		-	720	-	-	720	-	<u> </u>	
		1st (Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd C)uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total									
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co	mpietea		Current			Current			
		Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	
REVENUE		11010000							
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES									
Per Pupil Revenue	CY Per Pupil Rate								
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527		2,614,860	-		2,614,860	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		_	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-			
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
-	-		-	-		-	-		
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)			-	-		-			
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding	14,527	-	2,614,860	-	-	2,614,860		-	
Special Education Revenue			285,733	-		285,733	-		
Grants									
Stimulus			-	-		-			
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)			-	-		4.500			
Other			520			1,560	-		
NYC DoE Rental Assistance			-	-		-			
Other									
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		_	2,901,113	-	-	2,902,153	-	-	
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING									
IDEA Special Needs							-		
Title I			40,746	-		40,746	-		
Title Funding - Other			5,896	-		5,896	-		
School Food Service (Free Lunch)			17,479	-		52,437	-		
Grants									
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation			-	-		-	-		
Other	Do	ig e oo oro r	-	-		-	-		

-	2,979,581 3,047,590 (68,009) 720	-	- - -	3,056,783 3,123,581 (66,798)	-	-
-	(68,009)	-	-		-	_
	(68,009)	-	-			
-	720	_		(00,730)	-	-
			-	720	-	
4-4/	Outarian 7/4	0/20	2md 0		40/94	3rd (
		9/30	Zna Q		12/31	Sru (
Actual		Variance	Actual		Variance	Actual
				=		
-	64,121	-	-	99,079	-	-
	-	-		-	-	
	-	-		3,000	-	
	-	-		-	-	
	-	-		-	-	
	2,650	-		3,975	-	
	3,697	-		11,091	-	
	-	-		29,485	-	
	8,000			8,000		
-	14,347	-	-	55,551	-	-
	2 979 581			3 056 783		
	Actual	Current Budget - 64,121 - 64,121 - 2,650 - 3,697 - 8,000	Actual Budget Variance	Current Budget Variance Actual - - - - - - - - - - - - 2,650 - - 3,697 - - - - - 8,000 - - - 14,347 -	Current Budget Variance Actual Current Budget -	Current Budget Variance Actual Budget Variance -

otal Revenue			2,979,581		_	3,056,783	2017	
			-	-	_		- 1	_
otal Expenses		-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-
let Income			(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	720	-	-	720	-1	-
		1st Q	uarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN th Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qua								
rillary or couldn't but a control of the control of			Current			Current		
		Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual
XPENSES	Quarter 0							
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions							
Executive Management	_		46,956	-		46,956	-	
Instructional Management	-		70,375	-		70,375	-	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	-		155,843	-		155,843	-	
CFO / Director of Finance	-		35,000	-		35,000	-	
Operation / Business Manager	-		28,250	-		28,250	-	
Administrative Staff			180,003	-		180,003	-	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	-	-	516,427	-	-	516,427	-	-
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS								
Teachers - Regular	-		638,948	-		638,948	-	
Teachers - SPED	-		173,438	-		173,438	-	
Substitute Teachers	-		-	-		-	-	
Teaching Assistants	-		181,703	-		181,703	-	
Specialty Teachers	-		255,002	-		255,002	-	
Aides	-		-	-		-	-	
Therapists & Counselors	-		23,039	-		23,039	-	
Other	<u>-</u>		-	-		-	-	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	-	-	1,272,130	-	-	1,272,130	-	-
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS								
Nurse	-		-	-		-	-	
Librarian	-		21,147	-		21,147	-	
Custodian	-		10,000	-		10,000	-	
Security	_		-	-		-	-	
Other			-			-	-	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL		-	31,147	-	-	31,147	-	-
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	-	-	1,819,704	-	-	1,819,704	-	-
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS								
Payroll Taxes			190,792	-		190,792	-	
Fringe / Employee Benefits			170,967	-		182,218	-	
Retirement / Pension			93,415			93,415	=	
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		-	455,174	<u>-</u>	-	466,425	-	-
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS		e 35 of 61	2,274,878	-		2,286,129	-	

						2017	7-18
Total Revenue	-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-
Total Expenses		3,047,590	-	_	3,123,581	-	_
Net Income	-	(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment	-	720	-	-	720	-	-
	4-1	34	0/00	0-10		10/04	0-1-0
	1st (Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd C
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance	1						
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	1	Current			Current		
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual
CONTRACTED SERVICES	710122		7	7101000			
Accounting / Audit		12,000	-		12,000	-	
Legal		6,000	-		6,000	-	
Management Company Fee		-	-		-	-	
Nurse Services		-	-		-	-	
Food Service / School Lunch		6,000	-		18,000	-	
Payroll Services		30,300	-		30,300	-	
Special Ed Services		300	-		300	-	
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)		-	-		-	-	
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting		<u>18,100</u>	=		49,900	_	
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES	-	72,700	-	-	116,500	-	-

Total Revenue		2 070 504			2 056 702	2017	-10
	-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783 3,123,581	- [
Total Expenses	-	3,047,590	-	-	-		
Net Income	-	(68,009)	-	-	- (66,798) -		
Actual Student Enrollment		720	-	-	720	-1	-
	1st	Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	3rd		
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		Current			Current		
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual
SCHOOL OPERATIONS							
Board Expenses		-	-		-	-	
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials		28,800	-		26,400	-	
Special Ed Supplies & Materials Textbooks / Workbooks		12,500 33,000	-		6,000 47,000	-	
		33,000	-		41,000	-	
Supplies & Materials other Equipment / Furniture		10,300	-		10,300		
Telephone		25,250			25,250		
Technology		26,883	_		28,278		
Student Testing & Assessment		12,000	_		-		
Field Trips		5,000	_		_		
Transportation (student)			_		_	_	
Student Services - other		_	-		_	-	
Office Expense		25,166	-		24,816	-	
Staff Development		41,695	-		27,100	-	
Staff Recruitment		-	-		, -	-	
Student Recruitment / Marketing		5,500	-		5,500	-	
School Meals / Lunch		22,200	-		66,600	-	
Travel (Staff)		200	-		600	-	
Fundraising		2,000	-		3,000	-	
Other		12,380	-		18,680	-	
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	262,874	-	-	289,524	-	-
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE							
Insurance		22,500	-		22,500	-	
Janitorial		69,492	-		69,492	-	
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest		235,196	-		229,486	-	
Repairs & Maintenance		12,500	-		12,500	-	
Equipment / Furniture		-	-		-	-	
Security		21,600	-		21,600	-	
Utilities		<u>47,100</u>			<u>47,100</u>		
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	408,388	-	-	402,678	-	-
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION		28,750	-		28,750	-	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY		-	-		-	-	
TOTAL EXPENSES	_	3,047,590	_		3,123,581	<u>-</u>	

Page 37 of 61

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHAI

						uaget / Op	erating Pia
						201	7-18
Total Revenue	-	2,979,581	-	-	3,056,783	-	-
Total Expenses	-	3,047,590	-	-	3,123,581	-	-
Net Income	-	(68,009)	-	-	(66,798)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment	-	720	- /	-	720	-	-
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	1st Q	uarter - 7/1 - Current	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 - Current	12/31	3rd
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual
NET INCOME		(68,009)	_	-	(66,798)	<u> </u>	

OUR WORLD **ISENGE BOTH TO THE BUDGET 120 PER PRINT**Budget 120 Prepared in g Plan

						uaget /Zgp/	10 3
						2017	-18
Total Revenue	-	2,979,581	- [-	3,056,783	- [-
Total Expenses		3,047,590	-	- 3,123,581		-	-
Net Income	-	(68,009)	-	_	(66,798)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment	-	720	-	-	720	-	-
			_			_	3rd
	1st (Quarter - 7/1 -	9/30	2nd Q	uarter - 10/1 -	12/31	3rd
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed							
,		Current			Current		
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	Actual
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*							
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	-	720	-	-	720	-	_
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	_	-	-	-	-	
-		-	-	_	-	-	
-	-	-	-		-	-	
-	<u> </u>	_	_				
_	_	_	-	_	_		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	_	-	-	_	-	-	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	=	<u>720</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUE PER PUPIL		4,138			4,246		<u>-</u>
EXPENSES PER PUPIL		4.233		_	4.338		

		RTER SCH	OOL					
		n						
Total Revenue		3,053,783	-	- 3,396,340				
Total Expenses		3,209,754	-	-	3,091,062	-		
Net Income		(155,971)	-	-	305,278	-		
Actual Student Enrollment		720	-	-	720	•		
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th (Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30		
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total a	and Variance							
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Con	npleted							
		Current			Current			
		Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance		
REVENUE								
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES								
l	CY Per Pupil Rate							
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527	2,614,860	-		2,614,860			
-	-		-		-			
-	-	-	-		-	-		
-	-	-	-		-	-		
-	-	-	-		-	-		
-	-	-	-		-	-		
-	-	-	-		-	-		
-	-	-	-		-	_		
-	-		-		-			
-	-	-	-		-			
-	-		-		-			
-	-	_	-		-			
-	-	-	-		-			
-	-	_	-		-			
-	-	-	-		-			
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-	-	-		-			
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	14,527	2,614,860	-		2,614,860			
Special Education Revenue		285,733	-		285,733			
Grants								
Stimulus			-		-			
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)		4.500	-		4.500			
Other		1,560	-		1,560	-		
NYC DoE Rental Assistance		-	-		- 040,000			
Other					216,000			
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		2,902,153	-	-	3,118,153			
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING								
IDEA Special Needs		-	-		74,240	-		
Title I		40,746	-		40,746	-		
Title Funding - Other		5,896	-		5,898			
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		52,437	-		52,437			
Grants								
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation			-		-	-		
Other	Page 40 of 61		-		-			

	RTER SCH	OOL				
	n					
Total Revenue	3,053,783	-1		3,396,340		
otal Expenses	3,209,754	_				
otal Expenses let Income	(155,971)		- 3,091,062 - 305,278			
Actual Student Enrollment	720	-	- 720			
	Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th Quarter - 4/1 - 6/30			
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed						
	Current			Current		
	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	
Other	_	<u> </u>		_		
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES	99,079	-	-	173,321		
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE						
Contributions and Donations	-	-		-		
Fundraising	-	-		3,000		
Erate Reimbursement	-	-		80,800		
Earnings on Investments		-		-		
Interest Income	3,975	-		3,975		
Food Service (Income from meals)	11,091	-		11,091		
Text Book	29,485	-		-		
OTHER	<u>8,000</u>	<u>-</u>		6,000		
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES	52,551	-	-	104,866		
OTAL REVENUE	3.053,783	-		3,396,340		

		RTER SCH	OOL			
		n				
Total Revenue		3,053,783	-	-	3,396,340	-
Total Expenses		3,209,754	-	-	3,091,062	-
Net Income		(155,971)	-	-	305,278	-
Actual Student Enrollment		720	-	-	720	-
		Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	Ath (Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN t	ne 'Total and Variance	additor - I/I -	0,01	441 (2001to1 - 4/1 -	0,00
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qu						
	-	Current				
		Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance
EXPENSES	Quarter 0					
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions					
Executive Management		46,956	-		61,956	_
Instructional Management	-	70,375	-		70,375	-
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	-	155,843	-		155,843	-
CFO / Director of Finance		35,000	-		44,000	-
Operation / Business Manager	-	28,250	-		28,250	_
Administrative Staff		180,003			180,003	=
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	-	516,427	-	-	540,427	_
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Teachers - Regular	_	638,948	-		638,948	_
Teachers - SPED	-	173,438	-		173,438	_
Substitute Teachers	-	-	-		-	-
Teaching Assistants	-	181,703	-		181,703	-
Specialty Teachers	-	255,002	-		255,002	-
Aides	-	-	-		-	-
Therapists & Counselors	_	23,039	-		23,039	-
Other	=	<u>60,000</u>	=		=	=
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	-	1,332,130	-	-	1,272,130	_
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS						
Nurse	-	-	-		-	_
Librarian	-	21,147	-		21,147	-
Custodian	-	10,000	-		10,000	-
Security	-	-	-		-	_
Other		_	_		<u>-</u>	=
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	-	31,147	-	-	31,147	_
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	-	1,879,704	-	-	1,843,704	-
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS						
Payroll Taxes		190,792	-		190,792	_
Fringe / Employee Benefits		187,844	-		187,844	-
Retirement / Pension		93,415	_		93,415	=
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		472,051	-	-	472,051	_
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	_	2,351,755	-	_	2,315,755	_
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	Page 42 of 61	2,001,100		_	2,010,100	

	RTER SCH	OOL				
	n					
Total Revenue	3,053,783	-	-	3,396,340		
otal Expenses	3,209,754	-	-	3,091,062		
Net Income	(155,971) -		-	305,278		
Actual Student Enrollment	720	-	-	720		
	Quarter - 1/1 -	2/24	44b. /	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	nrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Current			
	Budget	Variance	Actual	Budget	Variance	
CONTRACTED SERVICES						
Accounting / Audit	12,000	-		12,000		
Legal	6,000	-		6,000		
Management Company Fee	-	-		-		
Nurse Services	-	-		-		
Food Service / School Lunch	18,000	-		18,000		
Payroll Services	30,300	-		30,300		
Special Ed Services	300	-		100		
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)	-	-		-		
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting	44,000	<u>-</u>		39,000		
Other Furchased / Frolessional / Consulting	,	_				

RTER SCH	OOL						
n							
3,053,783	-	- 3,396,340					
3,209,754	-	- 3,091,062					
	-						
720	-	-	720				
Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31	4th	4th Quarter - 4/1 - 6/30				
Current			Current				
	Variance	Actual		Variance			
Duuget	Variance	Actual	Duuget	Variance			
	-		-	-			
26,400	-			-			
	-						
39,000	-		13,500				
	-		-				
18,300	-		7,100				
	-		25,250				
	-		19,883				
	-		-				
10,000	-		5,000				
	-		-				
	-		-				
	-		-				
26,100	-		18,100				
	-		-				
	-						
	-						
	-		600				
	-		-				
	=		<u>15,730</u>				
315,971	-	-	243,479				
22,500	-		22,500				
	-		69,492				
229,486	-		229,486				
12,500	-		7,500				
-	-		-				
21,600	-		21,600				
47,100	-		47,100	:			
402,678	-	-	397,678				
28 750	- 1		28 750				
-	-		-				
2 200 754			2 004 060				
a 209.754	- 1	-	3 09 1 00/	:			
	3,053,783 3,209,754 (155,971) 720 Quarter - 1/1 - Current Budget	3,053,783 - 3,209,754 - (155,971) - 720 - Quarter - 1/1 - 3/31 Current Budget Variance 26,400 - 7,000 - 39,000 18,300 - 25,250 - 25,975 - 23,000 - 10,000 24,816 - 26,100 6,000 - 66,600 - 2,000 - 14,930 - 315,971 - 22,500 - 21,600 - 220,486 - 12,500 - 21,600 - 221,600 - 21,600 - 221,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 - 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600 21,600	3,053,783	3,053,783 - 3,091,062 (155,971) - 305,278 720 - 720 - 720			

	DTED OCUOOL				
	RTER SCHOOL	-			
	RTER SCHOOL	_			
	n				
Total Revenue	3,053,783	-	-	3,396,340	-
Total Expenses	3,209,754	-	-	3,091,062	-
Net Income	(155,971)	-	-	305,278	-
Actual Student Enrollment	720	-	-	720	-
	Quarter - 1/1 - 3/31		4th C	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance	Quarter - 1/1 - 3/31				
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed					
	Current			Current	
	Budget Va	riance	Actual	Budget	Variance
NET INCOME	(155,971)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	305,278	_

	RTER SCH	OOL			
	n				
Total Revenue	3,053,783	-		3,396,340	
Total Expenses	3,209,754	_		3,091,062	
Net Income	(155,971)	_	-	305,278	
Actual Student Enrollment	720	-	_	720	
	Quarter - 1/1 -	3/31			
	Quarter - 1/1 -		4th C	Quarter - 4/1 -	6/30
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		3/31	4010		0/30
	Current Budget	Variance	Actual	Current Budget	Variance
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*					
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	720	-	_	720	
-	- 120			-	
-	-	-	_	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-		-	-	-	
-		-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	
-		-	-	-	
-		-	-	-	
- -		_	_	_	
-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	_	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	<u>720</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>720</u>	
REVENUE PER PUPIL	<u>4,241</u>	<u> </u>	<u>=</u>	<u>4,717</u>	
EXPENSES PER PUPIL	4,458		_	4,293	

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCH

Budget / Operating Plan

						Bud	get / Opera	ting Plan	
							2017-1	8	
Total Revenue		-	-	-	12,486,487	(12,486,487)	-		- 12,480
Total Expenses			_	_	12,471,987	12,471,987	_		- 12,471
Net Income			_	_	14,500		_		- 14
Actual Student Enrollment		-	-	_	,		-		-
			ı						
						TOTALS	AND VARIAN	ICE ANALYS	SIS
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total	and Variance		Current	Actual		Actual	Original	Actual	
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co			Budget	vs.	Current	vs.	Budget	vs.	Origi
, ,			(Current	Current	Budget - TY	Current	(Current	Original	Budget
		Actual	Quarter)	Budget		Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget	
REVENUE									
REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES									
Per Pupil Revenue	CY Per Pupil Rate								
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527	-	-	-	10,459,440	(10,409,440	-	-	10,459
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	14 527	-	-		10 450 440	- (40 4E0 440	-	-	40.450
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding) Special Education Revenue	14,527	-	-	-	10,459,440			-	10,459
Grants		-	-		1,142,932	(1,142,932)	_	_	- 1,142
Stimulus		_			_		_	Ι .	_
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)		_	_		_	_			_
Other		_	_		5,200	(5,200)			
NYC DoE Rental Assistance		_	_			(0,200)	_	-	-
Other		_	_	_	216,000	(216,000)	_	<u> </u>	- 216
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES						(11,823,572		-	- 11,823
					11,020,072	(11,520,012			11,020
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING								1	
IDEA Special Needs		-	-	-	74,240				- 74
Title I		-	-		162,984			-	
Title Funding - Other		-	-	-	23,586			-	- 23
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		-	-	-	174,790	(174,790)	-	-	- 174
Grants			П						
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other		age 47 of 61	-	-	_	-	-	-	-

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCH Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18 **Total Revenue** 12,486,487 (12,486,487) 12,486,4 Total Expenses 12,471,987 12,471,987 12,471,9 Net Income 14,500 (14,500)14,5 **Actual Student Enrollment** TOTALS AND VARIANCE ANALYSIS Current Actual Original Actual Actual *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance **Budget** VS. Current VS. Budget VS. Origina Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed (Current Current Budget - TY Current (Current Original Budget -Actual Quarter) Budget **Budget TY** Quarter) Budget Other 435,600 435,6 (435,600)TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE Contributions and Donations Fundraising 6,000 (6,000)6,0 _ _ _ **Erate Reimbursement** 80,800 (80,800)80,8 _ **Earnings on Investments** Interest Income 14,575 (14,575)14,5 Food Service (Income from meals) 36,970 (36,970)36,9 **Text Book** 58,970 (58,970)58,9 OTHER 30,000 (30,000)30,0 227,315 (227,315)227,3 TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES --_ _

TOTAL REVENUE

- 12,486,487

- 12,486,4

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCH

						Bua	get / Opera		
							2017-18	В	
otal Revenue		-	-	-	12,486,487	(12,486,487)	-	-	12,486
otal Expenses		-	-	-	12,471,987	12,471,987	-	-	12,471
et Income		_	-	-	14,500	(14,500)	-	-	14
ctual Student Enrollment		-	-				-	-	
						TOTALS	AND VARIAN	ICE ANALYS	IS
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN th	ne 'Total and Variance		Current	Actual		Actual	Original	Actual	
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qua	arter Completed		Budget	vs.	Current	vs.	Budget	VS.	Origi
		1	(Current	Current	Budget - TY		(Current	Original	Budget
		Actual	Quarter)	Budget		Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget	
XPENSES	Quarter 0								
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions								
Executive Management	-	-	-	-	202,824	202,824	-	-	202
Instructional Management		-	-	-	201,000	281,500	-	-	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	_	-	-	_	623,372	623,372	-	-	623
CFO / Director of Finance		-	-	-	149,000	149,000	-	-	149
Operation / Business Manager	-	-	-	-	113,000	113,000	-	-	113
Administrative Staff		=	=	=		720,012	=	=	720
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	-	-	-		2,089,708	2,089,708	-	-	2,089
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS									
Teachers - Regular	-	-	-	-		2,555,792	-	-	2,555
Teachers - SPED		-	-	-	693,752	693,752	-	-	693
Substitute Teachers	_	-	-	_	-		-	-	706
Teaching Assistants	_	-	-	-	726,812	726,812	-	-	726
Specialty Teachers	-	-	-	-	1,020,008	1,020,008	-	-	1,020
Aides	-	-	-	-		02 156	-	-	
Therapists & Counselors	-	-	-	-	92,156	92,156	_	-	92
Other	=	=	=		60,000	60,000	=	=	<u>60</u>
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	-	-		-	5,148,520	5,148,520	-	-	5,148
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS									
Nurse	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	
Librarian		-	-	-	,	84,588	-	-	
Custodian		-	-	-	40,000	40,000	-	-	40
Security	-		-		-	-	-	-	
Other			=		=	= = =			
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	-	-	-	-	124,588	124,588	-	-	124
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	-	-	-	-	7,362,816	7,362,816	-		7,362
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS									
Payroll Taxes		-	-	-	763,168	763,168	-	-	763
Fringe / Employee Benefits		-	_	-	728,873	728,873	-	-	728
Retirement / Pension		-	_	_	373,660	373,660	<u>-</u>	-	373
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		-	-		1,865,701	1,865,701		-	1,86
TOTAL DEDOCUME: 050//05 00070									
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	-	Page 49 of 61	-	-	9,228,517	9,228,517	-	-	9,228

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCH Budget / Operating Plan 2017-18 **Total Revenue** 12,486,487 (12,486,487) 12,486,4 Total Expenses 12,471,987 12,471,987 12,471,9 Net Income 14,500 (14,500)14,5 **Actual Student Enrollment** TOTALS AND VARIANCE ANALYSIS Current Actual Original Actual Actual *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance **Budget** Current **Budget** VS. VS. VS. Origina Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed (Current Current Budget - TY Current (Current Original Budget -Actual Quarter) Budget **Budget TY** Quarter) Budget **CONTRACTED SERVICES** 48,000 48,000 48,0 Accounting / Audit 24,000 24,000 Legal _ _ _ 24,0 Management Company Fee **Nurse Services** _ _ Food Service / School Lunch 60,000 60,000 _ 60,0 121,200 121,2 **Payroll Services** 121,200 1,000 Special Ed Services 1,000 1,0 Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) _ _ _ 151,0 151,000 Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting 151,000

TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES

405,200

405,200

405,2

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCH

					Buar	get / Opera	ting Plan	
						2017-18	8	
Total Revenue	- '		-	12,486,487	(12,486,487)		-	- 12,48
Total Expenses	_ '	1 -1	-	12,471,987	12,471,987	-1	1 -	12,47
Net Income	_ '		-	14,500		l - '	1 -	- 1
Actual Student Enrollment	_ '	_	_	_	1	4 - 1	_	
					TOTALO	AND WADIAN	IOT ANALY	-10
	٦	Current	Astual			AND VARIAN		SIS
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Current Budget	Actual vs.	Current	Actual vs.	Original	Actual	Orig
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		(Current	vs. Current	Budget - TY		Budget (Current	vs. Original	Budg
· ·	Actual	(Current Quarter)	Budget	Buaget - 11	Budget TY	(Current Quarter)	_	Duuy
	ACtual	Quartery	Duager		Buaget 11	Quarter	Budget	
SCHOOL OPERATIONS								<u>.</u>
Board Expenses	_	-	_	_	- /	- !	_	-
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	-	-	-	,		-	-	
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	-	-	-			-	-	- ;
Textbooks / Workbooks	-	-	-	132,500	132,500	-		- 1
Supplies & Materials other	-	-	-			-		-
Equipment / Furniture	_	-	-	46,000	-			
Telephone	-	-	-	101,000		-	-	
Technology	-	-	-	,		-	_	
Student Testing & Assessment	_	-	-	00,000		-	-	
Field Trips	-	-	-	20,000	20,000	-		-
Transportation (student)	_	-	-					-
Student Services - other	_	-	-			- '		-
Office Expense	-	-	-			-	-	
Staff Development	-	-	-	112,995	112,995	-	-	- 1
Staff Recruitment	-	-	-	-	- /	-		-
Student Recruitment / Marketing	-	-	-	,		-	-	
School Meals / Lunch	_	-	-	,			-	- 2
Travel (Staff)	-	-	-	2,000		-	-	-
Fundraising	-	-	-	7,000	7,000	-	-	-
Other		=	=	61,720	61,720	_	=	_
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	-	-	-			-	_	- 4 4
CACULTY ODERATION & MAINTENANCE								
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE		1 7		00.000	20,000		Т	
Insurance	-	-	-	00,000				-
Janitorial	- 1	-	-	2,000				- 2
Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	-	-	-	,	,	-	-	
Repairs & Maintenance	- 1	-	-	10,000			-	
Equipment / Furniture		-	_	- 00 400		-	_	
Security	- 1	-	_	86,400	· · · · · ·			-
Utilities	= 1	=	<u> </u>	188,400		=	-	- 1
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	-	-		1,611,422	1,611,422	!		- 1,6
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	-	_	_	115,000	115,000	_	_	- 1
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY		_	_	-			_	
						<u></u>		
TOTAL EXPENSES	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	12,471,987	12,471,987	l <u>-</u>	-	- 12,4

				OUR W	ORLD NEI	SHBORHO	OD CHART	TER SCH
	_				Bud	get / Opera	ating Plan	
						2017-1	8	
Total Revenue	-	-	-	12,486,487	(12,486,487)	-	-	12,486,
Total Expenses	-	_	-	12,471,987	12,471,987	-	_	12,471,
Net Income	-	-	-	14,500	(14,500)	-	-	14,
Actual Student Enrollment	-	-	-			-	_	
					TOTALS	AND VARIAN	NCE ANALYS	SIS
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Current	Actual		Actual	Original	Actual	
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed		Budget	vs.	Current	vs.	Budget	vs.	Origir
		(Current	Current	Budget - TY	Current	(Current	Original	Budget
	Actual	Quarter)	Budget		Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget	
NET INCOME	l <u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	14,500	(14,500)	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	14,

				OUR W	ORLD BE	_	_	
					Bud	get /20 prem	ging Plan	
						2017-1	8	
Total Revenue	-	-	-	12,486,487	(12,486,487)	-		- 12,486,
Total Expenses	-	-	-	12,471,987		-		- 12,471,
Net Income	-	-	-	- 14,500	(14,500)	-		- 14,
Actual Student Enrollment	-	-	-	-		-		-
						AND VARIA		
						AND VARIA		SIS
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance		Current	Actual vs.	Current	Actual vs.	Original	Actual vs.	Origin
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	1	Budget (Current	vs. Current	Budget - TY		Budget (Current	vs. Original	Budget
	Actual	Quarter)	Budget	Dauget - 11	Budget TY	Quarter)	Budget	Duaget
ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*	* Enrollmon			ual Quarter Co		,		
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	Enrollmen	- Lata Daseu	On Last Acti	uai Quarter Co	mpieted	_		
-	-	_	_	-		-		-
-	-	-	-	-		-		-
-	-	-	-	-		-		-]
-	-	-	-	-			-	-
-		-	_	-				-
- -	_							_
_	-	_	_	-		-		_
-	-	-	-	-		-		-
-	-	-	-	-		-		-
-	-	-	-	-		-	<u> </u>	-
-		_	_			-		_
- -	-		_	-				
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-	_	_	_		_		_
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	_	_	_			_		
		<u>-</u>	_					
REVENUE PER PUPIL	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
				_				_

EXPENSES PER PUPIL

otal Revenue		(12,486,487)	-	
otal Expenses		12,471,987	_	
let Income			_	
Actual Student Enrollment	(14,500)	_		
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Co	Actual vs. Original	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED	Actual CY	
		Budget TY	Actual CY	Actual PY
REVENUE REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES				
Per Pupil Revenue	CY Per Pupil Rate			
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE	14,527	(10, 4 39, 44 0	_	
-	- 1,521		_	
-	_		_	
_				
_	_			
_	_	_	_	
-	_	_	_	
-	_	_	_	
-	_	_	_	
-	_	-	-	
-	_	-	_	
-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)	-	_	-	
TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding)	14,527	(10,459,440	-	
Special Education Revenue		(1,142,932)	-	
Grants				
Stimulus		-	-	
DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development)		-	-	
Other		(5,200)	-	
NYC DoE Rental Assistance		-	-	
Other		(216,000)	_	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES		(11,823,572		
REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING				
IDEA Special Needs		(74,240)	_	
Title I		(162,984)		
Title Funding - Other		(23,586)		
School Food Service (Free Lunch)		(174,790)		
Grants		(174,730)		
Charter School Program (CSP) Planning & Implementation			_	
Other				
Page 5	4 of 61			

Total Revenue	(12,486,487)	-	-
Total Expenses	12,471,987	_	_
Net Income	(14,500)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	Actual vs. Original Budget TY	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual CY vs. Actual PY
Other	=	Ξ	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES	(435,600)	-	-
LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE			
Contributions and Donations	-	-	
Fundraising	(6,000)	-	-
Erate Reimbursement	(80,800)	-	-
Earnings on Investments	-	-	-
Interest Income	(14,575)	-	_
Food Service (Income from meals)	(36,970)	-	_
Text Book	(58,970)	-	_
OTHER	(30,000)	_	
TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES	(227,315)	-	-
	111 405 401		
TOTAL REVENUE	(12,480,487	<u>-</u>	_

Total Revenue		(12,486,487)	-	
Total Expenses		12,471,987	-	
Net Income		(14,500)	-	
Actual Student Enrollment			-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Qua		Actual vs. Original Budget TY	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual C\ vs. Actual PY
EXPENSES	Quarter 0			
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS	No. of Positions			
Executive Management	-	202,824	-	
Instructional Management	-	281,500	-	
Deans, Directors & Coordinators	_	623,372	-	
CFO / Director of Finance	_	149,000	-	
Operation / Business Manager	_	113,000	-	
Administrative Staff	_	720,012	_	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF		2,089,708		
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS		2,000,100		
		2 555 702		
Teachers - Regular	-	2,555,792	-	
Teachers - SPED	-	693,752	-	
Substitute Teachers		- 700.040	-	
Teaching Assistants		726,812	-	
Specialty Teachers	_	1,020,008	-	
Aides	_		-	
Therapists & Counselors	_	92,156	-	
Other	<u> </u>	60,000	=	
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL	-	5,148,520	-	
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS				
Nurse	_		-	
Librarian	_	84,588	-	
Custodian	_	40,000	-	
Security	_	-	-	
Other		_	=	
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL	-	124,588	-	
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	-	7,362,816	-	
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS				
Payroll Taxes		763,168	-	
Fringe / Employee Benefits		728,873	-	
Retirement / Pension		373,660		
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS		1,865,701		
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS	- Page 56 of 61	9,228,517	-	

Total Revenue	(12,486,487)	-	-
Total Expenses	12,471,987	_	
Net Income	(14,500)	-	-
Actual Student Enrollment		-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	Actual)L vs. Original Budget TY	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual CY vs. Actual PY
CONTRACTED SERVICES		7101441 01	7101001111
Accounting / Audit	48,000	-	-
Legal	24,000	-	
Management Company Fee	-	-	
Nurse Services	-	-	-
Food Service / School Lunch	60,000	-	-
Payroll Services	121,200	-	-
Special Ed Services	1,000	-	-
Titlement Services (i.e. Title I)	-	-	
Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting	<u>151,000</u>	=	
TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES	405,200	-	_

Total Revenue	(12,486,487)	-	-
Total Expenses	12,471,987	-	
Net Income	(14,500)	-	
Actual Student Enrollment		-	
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	Actual vs. Original Budget TY	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual CY vs. Actual PY
SCHOOL OPERATIONS			
Board Expenses	_	_	
Classroom / Teaching Supplies & Materials	108,000	_	-
Special Ed Supplies & Materials	33,500	-	
Textbooks / Workbooks	132,500	-	
Supplies & Materials other	-	-	-
Equipment / Furniture	46,000	-	-
Telephone	101,000	-	-
Technology	101,019	-	-
Student Testing & Assessment	35,000	-	-
Field Trips	20,000	-	-
Transportation (student)	-	-	-
Student Services - other	-	-	-
Office Expense	106,614	-	-
Staff Development	112,995	-	-
Staff Recruitment	-	-	-
Student Recruitment / Marketing	22,500	-	-
School Meals / Lunch	222,000	-	-
Travel (Staff)	2,000	-	-
Fundraising	7,000	-	-
Other	61,720	Ξ.	
TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS	1,111,848	-	-
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE			
FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	00.000		
Insurance	90,000	-	-
Janitorial Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest	277,968 923,654	-	
Repairs & Maintenance	45,000		
Equipment / Furniture	- 45,000		
Security	86,400	_	
Utilities	188,400		
TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	1,611,422	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	115,000	-	
RESERVES / CONTINGENCY	- 113,000	-	-
	40 474 007		
TOTAL EXPENSES	12,471,987	<u> </u>	
Page 58 of 61			

)L)L		
Total Revenue	(12,486,487)	-	-
Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment	12,471,987 (14,500)	- - -	-
*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed	Actual vs. Original	PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED	Actual CY vs.
NET INCOME	Budget TY (14,500)	Actual CY	Actual PY

*NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Orig	1,987 4,500)	-	- - - -
Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed Orig Budge ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*	ual PY		
	s. inal (Y Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY	Actual CY vs. Actual PY
ALL OTHER School Districts: (Count = 0)		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - -

EXPENSES PER PUPIL



Annual Report Requirement

for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

OUR WORLD NEIGHBORHOOD CHARTER SCHOOL

2017-18

Administrative expenditures per pupil:

\$0.00

Per NYS Statute

Administrative expenditures per pupil: the sum of all general administration salaries and other general administration expenditures divided by the total number of enrolled students. Employee benefit costs or expenditures should not be reported here.

*NOTE: THIS TAB ONLY NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED FOR Q4



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

Name

K-5 Grade 6-8 Tel: Tel:

Fax: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

1.	Name of Charter School:	Our World Neighborhood Charter	r School	
2.	Trustee's name (print):	Melissa Chin		
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair,	treasurer, committee chair, etc.):	Vice-Chairwom	an
4.	Home Address:			
5.	Business Address:			 8
6.	Daytime Phone:			
7.	E-Mail Address:	2		

- 8. Is Trustee an employee of the school? ____Yes. ____No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary, and your start date.
- 9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
	none	-		

Signature

6/21/19 Date



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

Member Board of Tritus Position 6.21.16

Tel:

Tel:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

1.	Name of Charter School:	Our World Neighborhood Charter	School
2.	Trustee's name (print):	Sara Espanol	
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair,	treasurer, committee chair, etc.):	Member
1.	Home Address:		
5.	Business Address:		
5.	Daytime Phone:		
7.	E-Mail Address:		
			_

- 8. Is Trustee an employee of the school? ____Yes. X___No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary, and your start date.
- 9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the charter school and in which such entity, during the preceding school year, you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school that is doing business with the school through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the school that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the charter school. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
		Nove		

SNA

Signature

6.21.16

Date



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

K-5

Grade 6-8

Tel: Tel: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

1.	Name of Charter School:	Our World Neighborhood Charter School	
2.	Trustee's name (print):	Dr. Manu Bhagavan	
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair,	treasurer, committee chair, etc.): Member	
4.	Home Address:	<u> </u>	
5.	Business Address:		
6.	Daytime Phone:		
7.	E-Mail Address:		
8.	Is Trustee and employee of the description of the position you l	school?YesXNo. If you checked yes, please provide a nold, your salary, and your start date.	

9.	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of
	your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the
	prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please
	note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment
	status, salary, etc.

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
	A	one -		

Signature

24 Mis 20/6



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

K-5 Grade 6-8 Tel:

Fax: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

l.	Name of Charter School: Our World Neighborhood Charter School
2.	Trustee's name (print): Charles Guadagnolo
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): Treasurer
ŧ.	Home Address:
5.	Business Address:
ó.	Daytime Phone:
7.	E-Mail Address:
3.	Is Trustee and employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary, and your start date.
€.	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Pleas note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
A	NONE		
	¥		

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
		6r12 _	And to	

Signature

TH/16



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

and Member

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

K-5 Grade 6-8 Tel: Tel: Fax: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

l.	Name of Charter School:	Our World Neighborhood Charter School
2.	Trustee's name (print):	Olubunmi Emigili
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair,	treasurer, committee chair, etc.): Member
Į.	Home Address:	
5.	Business Address:	
ó.	Daytime Phone:	
7.	E-Mail Address:	
3.		school?Yes. XNo. If you checked yes, please provide a hold, your salary, and your start date.

9.	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of
	your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the
	prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please
	note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment
	status, salary, etc.

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		ac ac

Entity	Nature of	Approximate	Name of Trustee and/or	Steps
Conducting	Business	Value of the	Immediate Family Holding an	Taken to
Business with	Conducted	Business	Interest in the Entity	Avoid
the School		Conducted	Conducting Business with the	Conflict
			School and the Nature of the	of Interest
			Interest	
	None			



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

K-5 Grade 6-8

Tel: Tel: Fax: (Fax: (

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

1.	Name of Charter S	School:	Our World?	Neighborhood Charte	r Scho	ool	-
2.	Trustee's name (p	rint):	Maura	Fitzgerald			
3.	Position(s) on boa	rd (e.g., chair,	treasurer, co	mmittee chair, etc.):	Sec	retary	
4.	Home Address: _						
5.	Business Address	:					_
6.	Daytime Phone:_						
7.	E-Mail Address:						
8.				es. No. If you ary, and your start da		ed yes, please provide a	
9.	Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.						
	Dates (s)	Nature of Fi		Steps Taken to Avo		Identity of Person	

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		

Entity	Nature of	Approximate	Name of Trustee and/or	Steps
Conducting	Business	Value of the	Immediate Family Holding an	Taken to
Business with	Conducted	Business	Interest in the Entity	Avoid
the School		Conducted	Conducting Business with the	Conflict
			School and the Nature of the	of Interest
			Interest	
$ \wedge $	one			



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF:

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

K-5 Grade 6-8 Tel: Tel:

Fax: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

	Dates (s)	Nature of Fin Interest/Trai		Steps Taken to Av Conflict of Interes (e.g., did not vote, not participate in	st,	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you	
	status, salary, etc.						
9.	your immediate fa prior school year. note that if you an	amily member If there are no swered yes to	rs have ĥeld o such finan	or engaged in with tl	he chart ction, p	lease write "None." Plea	se
8.				Yes. No. If you lary, and your start		ed yes, please provide a	l
7.	E-Mail Address:						
6.	Daytime Phone:_						
5.	Business Address:	:					
4.	Home Address:						
3.	Position(s) on boa	rd (e.g., chair,	treasurer, co	ommittee chair, etc.):	Cha	airwoman	_
2.	Trustee's name (p	rint):	Jeane	tte Betancourt Ed.D			
1.	Name of Charter S	School:	Our World	Neighborhood Char	ter Scho	ool	_

Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
	NONE		

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest

Signature

5/24/16



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

ANNUAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF REVIEW & RECEIPT OF

- 1. Conflict of Interest Policy
- 2. Code of Ethics for Trustees, Officers, and Employees.
- 3. Whistle Blower Policy
- 4. Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee

By signing the below, I acknowledge that I have be given a copy of the above mentioned school policies.

Richard T. Bogs Name Signature 5/24/11

K-5 Grade 6-8 Tel: Tel: Fax:

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Disclosure of Financial Interest by Charter School Trustee 2015-2016

1.	Name of Charter S	School: <u>Our World</u>	Neighborhood Charter Scho	ool					
2.	Trustee's name (p	rint): Richa	rd Bogle						
3.	Position(s) on boa	rd (e.g., chair, treasurer, co	ommittee chair, etc.): <u>Me</u>	mber					
4.	Home Address: _								
5.	Business Address	:							
5.	Daytime Phone:_								
7.	E-Mail Address:								
8. 9.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary, and your start date. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there are no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.								
	Dates (s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion?	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)					
- 1									

NONE

Entity Conducting Business with	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity	Steps Taken to Avoid
the School		Conducted	Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Conflict of Interest
None				

Signature

Data

FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY	
FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:	
DATE DECEIVED.	

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A CHARTER SCHOOL TRUSTEE¹

1.	Name of charter school: 115 World Neighborhood Churter School
2.	Trustee's name (print): MANU BHAGAVAN
3.	Position(s) on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): Member
4.	Home address:_
5.	Business Addres
6.	Daytime phone:
7.	E-mail:
8.	Is Trustee an employee of the school?YesNo. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.

9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the charter school during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of Interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Identity of Person Holding Interest or Engaging in Transaction (e.g., you and/or immediate family member (name)
Prefixe uping	None" if applicabl	e. Do not leave thi	space blank:

Form Revised May 24, 2006

Entity Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee and/or Immediate Family Holding an Interest in the Entity Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest	Steps Taken to Avoid Conflict of Interest
Reast	write "None"	if applicable.	Do not leave this space by	ank.

Signature

Date

Request for Information from Prospective Charter School Trustee

Please provide the following information.

Back	ground	
------	--------	--

	1.	Name of Charter School for which you intend to serve as a trustee. Our World Neighborhood Charter School
	2.	Full name: Manu Bhagavan Home Address: Business Name and Address:
		Home telephone No.: Work telephone No:. E-mail address:
	3.	A brief educational and employment history (you may attach a resume): X Resume attached.
	4.	Please affirm that you will be at least 18 years old by the date of appointment to the charter school's board. X I affirm.
	5.	Please indicate whether you currently or have previously served on a board of a school district, another charter school, a non-public school or any not-for-profit corporation (to the extent not otherwise indicated in your response to Item 3, above). Does not apply to me. X Yes, I served on a the Board of Trustees for our family-started charitable trust supporting educational and medical needs of children in need based in Bangalore, India; I am now an Emeritus Trustee.
	6.	Please indicate if you have ever been convicted of a misdemeanor related to honesty or trustworthiness, or a felony. If the answer to this question is yes, please provide details of the offense, the date, disposition, etc. $X \square$ Does not apply to me. \square Yes,
	7.	Please indicate if you have ever entered into a settlement agreement, consent decree, adjournment in contemplation of dismissal, assurance of discontinuance or other, similar agreement with the Securities Exchange Commission, Internal Revenue Service, the U.S. attorney general or the attorney general of any state, a U.S. or district attorney or any other law enforcement or regulatory body concerning the discharge of your duties as a board member of a for-profit or not-for profit entity or as an executive of such entity. If the answer to this question is yes, please provide details of the agreement. X Does not apply to me. Yes,
Co	nflio	<u>ets</u>
	8.	Please indicate whether you or your spouse knows any of the other school trustees, or prospective or former school trustees. If so, please indicate the precise nature of your relationship. \square I / we do not know any such trustees. X \square Yes, I have sat in on several meetings and have met all other Board members.
	9.	Please indicate whether you or your spouse knows any person who is, or has been in the last two years, a school employee. If so, indicate the precise nature of your relationship. I / we do not know any such employees. X Yes,

	and we have gotten to know the staff fairly well in a professional capacity.
10.	Please indicate whether you or your spouse knows anyone who is doing, or plans to do, business with the charter school (whether as an individual or as a director, officer, employee or agent of an entity). If so, indicate and describe the precise nature of your relationship and the nature of the business that such person or entity is transacting or will be transacting with the school. $X \square I$ we do not know any such persons. $\square Yes$,
11.	Please indicate if you, your spouse or other immediate family members anticipate conducting, or are conducting, any business with the school. If so, please indicate the precise nature of the business that is being or will be conducted. $\Box x \mid 1$ / we do not anticipate conducting any such business. \Box Yes,
12.	If the school contracts with an educational service provider (a management company, whether for-profit or not-for-profit), please indicate whether you or your spouse know any employees, officers, owners, directors or agents of that provider. If the answer is in the affirmative, please describe any such relationship. Not applicable because the school does not contact with a management company or charter management organization. X I / we do not know any such persons. Yes,
13.	If the school contracts with an educational service provider, please indicate whether you, your spouse or other immediate family members have a direct or indirect ownership, employment, contractual or management interest in the provider. For any interest indicated, please provide a detailed description. $X \square N/A$. $\square I/$ we have no such interest. $\square Yes$,
14.	If the school is partnered with an educational service provider, please indicate if you, your spouse or other immediate family member anticipate conducting, or are conducting, any business with the provider. If so, please indicate the precise nature of the business that is being or will be conducted. $\Box x \ N/A$. $\Box \ I$ / we or my family do not anticipate conducting any such business. $\Box \ Yes$,
15.	Please indicate whether you, your spouse or other immediate family members are a director, officer, employee, partner or member of, or are otherwise associated with, any organization which filed an application in conjunction with the charter school, i.e., is partnered with the charter school. (For the identity of all such organizations, please consult with the chair of the charter school board.) To the extent you have provided this information in response to prior items, you may so indicate. X Does not apply to me, my spouse or family. Yes,
16.	Please indicate any potential ethical or legal conflicts of interests that would, or are likely to, exist should you be approved for service on the school's board. Please note that being a parent of a school student, serving on another charter school's board or being employed by the school are conflicts that should be disclosed, but do not make you automatically ineligible to serve as a trustee. None. Yes, I am a parent of a student in the elementary school.
17.	Please indicate how you would handle a situation in which you believe one or more members of the school's board are involved in self-dealing (working for their own benefit, or the benefit of their friends and family). Please note that simply confronting the involved trustee is not usually a sufficient answer. The matter would be reported to the appropriate authorities, starting with the Executive Director, and up the chain as required until the matter is ethically and legally resolved.

Other

18. Please affirm that	you have read the school board's by-laws and	conflict-of-interest policies
(Code of Ethics).		

19. Please provide any other information that you feel pertinent to the Charter Schools Institute's review.

Certification

, certify to the best of my knowledge and ability that the information I am providing to the State University Trustees/Charter Schools Institute in regards to my application to serve as a member of the board of trustees of the Our World No ishorhood Chapter School is true and correct in every respect. 2 Mas 20/6

gnature

Please submit this form with the RFI form to the charter school or via mail, facsimile or email (in PDF with signature) to:

Charter Schools Institute State University of New York

41 State Street, Suite 700, Albany, NY 12207

Telephone: 518/433-8277; Facsimile 518/427-6510

E-mail: charters@suny.edu



Entry 9 BOT Table

Last updated: 07/24/2017

(tab across or use scroll bar at bottom of table)

1. Current Board Member Information

	Truste e Name	Email Addres s	Positio n on the Board	Commi ttee Affiliati ons	Voting Memb er Per By Laws? (Y/N)	Area of Experti se, and/or Additio nal Role at School (paren t, staff memb er, etc.)	Numbe r of Terms Served and Length of Each (Includ e electio n date and term expirat ion)	Numbe r of Board Mtgs Attend ed during 2016 17?
1	Dr. Jeanett e Betanc ourt		Chair/ Board Preside nt		Yes	Special Educat ion		
2	Maura Fitzger ald	P	Secret		Yes	HR and Admini stratio n		
3	Meliss a Chin		Vice Chair/ Vice Preside nt		Yes	Early Childh ood		
4	Richar d Bogle		Truste e/Mem ber		Yes	Legal		
5	Charle s Guada gnolo		Treasu rer		Yes	Real Estate and Financ e		

6	Manu Bhaga van	Truste e/Mem ber	Yes	Parent	
7	Olubun mi Emigli	Truste e/Mem ber	Yes	Parent	
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					

7

- 2. Total Number of Members on June 30, 2016
- 3. Total Number of Members 1

 Joining the Board 2016-17 School

 Year
- 4. Total Number of Members 0

 Departing the Board during the

 2016-17 School Year
- 5. Number of Voting Members 7
 2016-17, as set by the by-laws, resolution or minutes

6. Number of Board Meetings 10
Conducted in the 2016-17 School
Year

7. Number of Board Meetings 10Scheduled for the 2017-18School Year

Thank you.



Entry 11 Enrollment and Retention of Special Populations

Created: 07/24/2017 • Last updated: 08/01/2017

Instructions for Reporting Enrollment and Retention Strategies

Describe the efforts the charter school has made in 2016 2017 toward meeting targets to attract and retain enrollment of students with disabilities, English language learners, and students who are economically disadvantaged. In addition, describe the school's plans for meeting or making progress toward meeting its enrollment and retention targets in 2017 2018.

Recruitment/Attraction Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

	Describe Efforts Toward Meeting Recruitment Targets 2016 17)	Describe Plans Toward Meeting Recruitment Targets 2017 18)
Econom ically Disadva ntaged	OWN recruitment efforts were very broad and reached out to the wider community. In addition to having more that 8 widely advertised open houses between January and April, school representative attended student recruitment fairs, visited preschools in the catchment area and also visited the Community board meetings to find ways of spreading the word about the school. In addition the school distributed information about itself and its recruitment efforts via multi language newspapers/magazines, through the elected officials offices and also via community based organizations that addressed homeless and immigrant families.	OWN will continue its current recruitment practices and continue to seeks additional partnerships with community based organizations that serve a diversity of family populations.
English Langua ge Learner s	OWN recruitment efforts were very broad and reached out to the wider community. In addition to having more that 8 widely advertised open houses between January and April, school representative attended student recruitment fairs, visited preschools in the catchment area and also visited the Community board meetings to find ways of spreading the word about the school. In addition the school distributed information about itself and its recruitment efforts via multi language newspapers/magazines, through the elected officials offices and also via community based organizations that addressed homeless and immigrant families.	OWN will continue its current recruitment practices and continue to seeks additional partnerships with community based organizations that serve a diversity of family populations.
Student s with Disabilit ies	OWN recruitment efforts were very broad and reached out to the wider community. In addition to having more that 8 widely advertised open houses between January and April, school representative attended student recruitment fairs, visited preschools in the catchment area and also visited the Community board meetings to find ways of spreading the word about the school. In addition the school distributed information about itself and its recruitment efforts via multi language newspapers/magazines, through the elected officials offices and also via community based organizations that addressed homeless and immigrant families.	OWN will continue its current recruitment practices and continue to seeks additional partnerships with community based organizations that serve a diversity of family populations.

Retention Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

	Describe Efforts Toward Meeting Retention Targets 2016 17)	Describe Plans Toward Meeting Retention Targets 2017 18)
Econom ically Disadva ntaged	OWN has an active Parent Organization that works directly with the school's administration and faculty to provide both academic and socio emotional support for all students. OWN has a student retention rate that exceeds 95% and believes that the culture of welcoming all levels of diversity has led to our current retention outcomes	The school will continue to work with the families of all students ensure that they are welcome and supported. This will be accomplished by continuing the school's practice of utilizing Title I funds as a school wide endeavor and
English Langua ge Learner s	The ESL team met with families of ELL's to ensure that they understood the progress being made by their children. The ESL team also conducted a workshop prior to state testing to give an overview of the test and to discuss how parents can support their children in being successful on the exams.	The ESL team met with families of ELL's to ensure that they understood the progress being made by their children. The ESL team also conducted a workshop prior to state testing to give an overview of the test and to discuss how parents can support their children in being successful on the exams.
Student s with Disabilit ies	The school introduced CTT classrooms in grades 5, 6, and 7 this year. Teachers met with parents of students with disabilities at least twice during the year to discuss individual progress and the supports that are in place and will be in place for future success.	The school intends to continue to expand its programmatic support of students with disabilities by opening up CTT classes in grade 2, 3, and 4. The special education team will continue to reach out to current families to ensure that their students are making progress and that they understand the school's commitment to working with their children.



Entry 12 Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Last updated: 07/24/2017

Report changes in teacher and administrator staffing.

Instructions for completing the Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition Tables

Charter schools must complete the two tables named 2016-2017 Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition to report changes in teacher and administrator staffing in 2016-2017. Please provide the full time equivalent (FTE) of staff on June 30, 2016; the FTE for any departed staff from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017; the FTE for added staff from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017; and the FTE of staff added in newly created positions from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 using the two tables provided.

Classroom Teacher Attrition Table

FTE Classroom Teachers on June 30, 2016 FTE Classroom Teachers Departed 7/1/16 6/30/17	FTE Classroom	FTE Classroom	FTE of
	Teachers Filling	Teachers Added	Classroom
	Vacant Positions	in New Positions	Teachers on June
	7/1/16 6/30/17	7/1/16 6/30/17	30, 2017

Administrator Position Attrition Table

	FTE Administrative Positions on June 30, 2016	FTE Administrators Departed 7/1/16 6/30/17	FTE Administrators Filling Vacant Positions 7/1/16 6/30/17	FTE Administrators Added in New Positions 7/1/16 6/30/17	FTE Administrative Positions on June 30, 2017
	6	1	1	0	6

Thank you



Our World Neighborhood Charter School Elementary School Kindergarten to Grade 5 36-12 35th Avenue Astoria, NY 11106

Middle School Grade 6 to Grade 8 31-20 37th Street Astoria, NY 11103

SY 2017-2018 Calendar

Tuesday, September 5, 2017 First day of school

Friday, September 22 No School

Monday, October 9 No School

Thursday, November 23 to No School

Friday, November 24

Monday, December 25 to No School

Monday, January 1, 2018

Monday, January 15 No School

Monday, February 19 to No School

Friday, February 23

Friday, March 30 to No school

Friday, April 6

Monday, May 28 No school

No school Friday, June 15

Friday, June 29, 2018 Last day of school

	Number of Instructional Days each Month for 2016-2017 School Year									
Month Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec. Jan Feb.										
# Days 0 18 21 20 16 21 15										
Month	Month Mar. Apr. May June Total # Instructional Days									
# Days	# Days 21 16 22 20 190									

K-5 Tel: (718) Grade 6-8 Tel: (718) Fax: (718) Fax: (718)

www.owncs.org

K-5 Tel: (718) Fax: (718) Grade 6-8 Tel: (718) Fax: (718)

www.owncs.org